POL 490H1S – Topics in Canadian Politics I:  
*Canadian Legislatures and Legislators*

MW 2-4 PM, Room SS2101

**Instructor:** Paul Thomas  
**Email:** paul.thomas@utoronto.ca  
**Office hours:** Wednesday 12:00-1:00 pm, SSH 3118  
**Course Twitter:** @UofT_POL490

**Course description**
Canada’s federal and provincial legislatures are supposed to be the centre-pieces of our democracy. Yet recently questions regarding the stability of minority governments, the use of prorogation, and the general need for parliamentary reform have become ongoing topics of debate. This course equips students to explore these issues by examining the role of Canada’s legislatures, how they operate, and what drives the behaviour of legislators. It begins by reviewing the functions of legislatures within a political system, and then explores how these tasks are achieved within the Westminster model. Canada’s parliamentary system is then analyzed, with particular attention being paid to procedure, party discipline, engagement with citizens, and the role of individual politicians. The course concludes with a discussion of potential institutional reforms. Due to time constraints, it focuses primarily on the federal Parliament, but devotes one class exclusively to the provincial and territorial legislatures and considers them wherever possible.

**Course objectives**
By the end of the course students will be familiar with different approaches to legislative studies; have a detailed understanding of the four functions of Westminster legislatures (government formation, representation, legislation, scrutiny); understand the role of parties in the legislature and concerns around excessive party discipline; be able to identify opportunities for influence by backbench legislators; know the strengths and weaknesses of provincial legislatures; be well versed in current debates over parliamentary reform.

**Course Requirements**

**Course components**

- Course participation 20%  
- Book Review of “Tragedy in the Commons” 20%  
- Presentation 20%  
- Research Essay  
  - Proposal 10%  
  - Final Essay 30%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Course participation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Review</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Monday, July 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Date varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Essay Proposal</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Monday, July 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Essay Final Essay</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Monday, August 11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Course participation
This is a seminar class focused on class discussion. As such, attendance in class is necessary. Students will lose 10% of their participation grade for each class missed without an approved absence (see course policies below). However, attendance on its own is not sufficient; students must participate actively in class if they wish to receive a good grade. That said, quantity does not trump quality. In particular, students are expected to focus their comments on the subject of the day’s class and assigned the readings. Current political events should be mentioned only if they are directly relevant.

Book review (Due by email by 2:00 PM on Monday, July 21)
Students will prepare a review of the book *Tragedy in the Commons* by Alison Loat and Michael Macmillan, the co-founders of the think tank Samara. The review, which should be 1500 to 2000 words, will briefly summarize the book’s main arguments, and then proceed to critically assess its findings and methodology, as well as the suggestions it makes for future action and reform. While additional research is not required, students should discuss analyze the book in light of the relevant course readings from the weeks prior to the assignment due date, highlighting points of congruence or divergence in terms of findings, methodology, etc. Please consult the rubric that will be posted on the course blackboard page for further details about the expectations for the book review.

While all students will be preparing a report on the same book and using the same material, all students must prepare the assignment independently. Please refer to the policies below on assignment formatting and citations, academic integrity, use of Turnitin, late penalties, and extensions. An electronic version should be submitted by email to paul.thomas@utoronto.ca AND uploaded to Turnitin by 2:00 PM on Monday, July 23.

Presentation (Notes/slides due by email by 9:00AM on day of presentation)
Students will work in groups of three or four to present on the required readings from a given class. While a brief summary of the key points of each reading is helpful, the primary purpose is for students to synthesize and critique the points made in each piece, and to spark subsequent class discussion. Some questions to consider when developing the presentation are:

- What are the main arguments in each article? How do they compare? Are there points of agreement/disagreement? Are some more convincing than the others?
- What methodology does each article employ? Is one approach more effective for studying the issue examined? Are there any gaps?
- To what extent do the readings relate to those from previous weeks? What concepts or ideas are present?

Students should make their presentations as interesting and engaging as possible. The use of videos, news reports, games, etc. is encouraged to engage fellow students. Please also prepare questions for class discussion. Please consult the rubric that will be posted on the course blackboard page for further details about the expectations for the presentation. A written outline of the presentation and/or a copy of any slides, etc. should be sent to the Instructor by email by 9:00 AM on the day of the presentation.
**Essay Proposal** *(Due by email by 2:00 PM on Monday, July 28)*

Students will submit a three page (double-spaced) overview of their essay, including the thesis, a description of the major arguments, and a list of at least 5 supporting academic sources. Please refer to the policies below on assignment formatting and citations, academic integrity, late penalties, and extensions. An electronic version should be submitted by email by 2:00 PM on Monday, July 28. It is not necessary to upload the essay proposal to Turnitin.

**Research Essay** *(Due by email by 2:00 PM on Monday, August 11)*

Students will prepare a research essay of approximately 4000 words (no more than 4500 words including bibliography) on a topic relating to Canadian legislatures, legislators or both. The paper can focus solely on one Canadian legislature, or adopt a comparative approach that examines two or more. International comparisons are also possible with the prior approval of the Instructor. A wide range of topics is possible, such as the impact of different parliamentary procedures, the factors influencing legislative behaviour, the balance of power between parliament and the executive, or parliamentary reform. Students are encouraged to draw on material from other legislatures and particularly other Westminster systems where appropriate. Examples may be drawn from current events, although students are reminded that the paper should employ a clear analytical framework and not rely primarily on anecdotes.

Please consult the rubric that will be posted on the course blackboard page for further details about the expectations for the essay. Also, please refer to the policies below on assignment formatting and citations, academic integrity, use of Turnitin, late penalties, and extensions. An electronic version should be submitted by email by 2:00 PM on Monday, August 11.

**General course policies**

**Accessibility**

The University of Toronto is committed to accessibility, and students with diverse learning styles and needs are welcome in this course. If you require accommodations for a disability, or have any accessibility concerns about the course, the classroom or course materials, please contact Accessibility Services as soon as possible: disability.services@utoronto.ca or [http://studentlife.utoronto.ca/accessibility](http://studentlife.utoronto.ca/accessibility)

**Religious obligations**

Efforts will be made to accommodate students’ religious obligations that may occur during the course. Please advise the Instructor as early as possible if you will acquire any accommodation during the semester.

**Respectful discussion**

Politics is a subject on which people often have very strongly held views. To ensure the class offers an open and inclusive environment, students are asked to remember the following principles when making contributions:

- Respect for the diversity of classmates;
- Respect for different opinions and perspectives;
- The need to distinguish between political beliefs and political science.
Blackboard and course communications
Course documents and notices will be posted on Blackboard. Please make sure that you have access to the course page on the Blackboard site. Students are also expected to regularly check their University of Toronto email accounts for announcements posted through Blackboard. Direct correspondence with the Instructor should be conducted by email to paul.thomas@utoronto.ca. Emails will be returned within one working day.

Audio/video recording
Students are prohibited from any form of recording in class without the Instructor’s permission.

Rules for the submission of course requirements

Formatting and citations
Written assignments should be prepared in Times New Roman 12 point font with one inch margins on all sides of the page. Students may use whichever bibliography/citation system they wish so long as it is used correctly and consistently. However, students are encouraged to adopt the in-text citation style described in the editorial guidelines for the Canadian Journal of Political Science. Assignments should be submitted by email to paul.thomas@utoronto.ca as an attachment in one of the electronic following formats: MS Word (.doc or .docx); Open Document Format (.odt); or Rich Text Format (.rtf).

Rough work
Students are strongly advised to keep rough drafts and notes from their assignments. These should be kept until the marked assignments have been returned and the grades posted in ROSI.

Plagiarism and Turnitin
Plagiarism is a serious academic offence and will be dealt with accordingly. For further clarification and information on plagiarism please see Writing at the University of Toronto (http://www.writing.utoronto.ca/advice/using-sources). Plagiarism is defined by the Office of Student Academic Integrity (OSAI) as “Presenting the work, ideas, or words of another as your own, even if by accident.” Examples of plagiarism listed on the OSAI website include:

- Using an idea without including a reference to the source.
- Copying material without enclosing it within quotation marks.
- Copying material with a few words changed, or used in different order without acknowledgement. This is not paraphrasing.
- Submitting a purchased paper or an assignment written by someone else.

Normally, students will be required to submit their course essays to Turnitin.com for a review of textual similarity and detection of possible plagiarism. In doing so, students will allow their essays to be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database, where they will be used solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism. The terms that apply to the University's use of the Turnitin.com service are described on the Turnitin.com web site.
Students who do not wish to utilize Turnitin should notify the Instructor of their decision at least two weeks prior to the deadline for the course requirement. Students not wishing to use Turnitin will instead need to provide their rough notes (book review and essay), as well as an annotated bibliography of the sources consulted in the development of the course assignment (essay only).

Other academic offences
Please note that plagiarism is just one of several forms of academic misconduct covered by the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. Others listed on the OSAI website include:

- Unauthorized collaboration: working too closely with another student on an individual assignment so that the end result is too similar.
- Concoction: including false or misleading references in your work. Examples include: copying material from an online source like Wikipedia but providing a reference to a scholarly journal; or inventing a reference because you forgot or lost track of the true source.
- Unauthorized resubmission: submitting work you have submitted before without obtaining permission from your instructor.

For further information please refer to the OSAI website.

Extensions and approved absences
Extensions on class assignments or approval for absence from a class will be granted only for exceptional, unforeseen circumstances that are beyond a student’s control. Please advise the Instructor at your earliest opportunity if you believe you will require an extension or will miss a class. Extensions or approved absences will not be granted after a due date or class has passed. Please note that suitable documentation, such as a medical certificate in the case of illness, will be required for both extensions and approved absences.

Grading
The instructor will grade all course assignments/requirements within one week of submission. This includes course presentations.

Late penalties
Late assignments will be penalized by 2% if submitted between 2:01 PM and 11:59 PM on the due date. A further 5% penalty will then be assigned each additional day late, inclusive of weekends. Late assignments should be emailed to the instructor as soon as they are completed.

Appeals
Students can appeal to the course instructor if they feel that the grade received for a course assignment did not reflect the quality of the work submitted. In order to launch an appeal the student must prepare a one-page document identifying the particular aspects of the grading they wish to have reviewed, and explaining the specific reasons why they feel a higher grade would be appropriate. References must be made to the appropriate portion of the assignment rubric.
Course readings and class plan

Primary texts
- David Docherty. 2005. Legislatues. Vancouver: UBC Press. (Course reserve at Robarts; available online through Scholars Portal)

- Alison Loat and Michael Macmillan. 2014. Tragedy in the Commons. Toronto: Random House


The texts are available in the University of Toronto bookstore.

PART I – INTRODUCTION AND FUNCTIONS

Class 1 - An introduction to legislatures (Wednesday, July 2)
Questions:
- What are the functions of legislatures? Are they still relevant?
- Are legislatures in decline?
- What influence can legislatures have?
- How can we study legislatures?
- What are the differences between Westminster and Congressional legislatures?

Required readings


Recommended readings

Class 2: Making and breaking governments (Monday, July 7)

Questions
- How do legislatures form governments?
- What is the convention of responsible government?
- Does responsible government succeed in holding governments accountable?
- What problems does the system create?
- Is responsible government compatible with citizen’s expectations of democracy?
- What are conventions? How are they created and enforced?

Required readings


Recommended readings


Class 3: Representation (Wednesday, July 9)

Questions
- What are different conceptions of representation?
- Is the House of Commons representative of Canadians?
- How is representation affected by population and geography?
- Does the makeup of parliament shape the choices it makes?
- What kind of representation does the public expect?
**Required readings**


**Recommended readings**


**Class 4: Legislative process and committees (Monday, July 14)**

**Questions**

- What are the steps in the legislative process for government bills?
- What opportunities exist for input from MPs or the public?
- How do omnibus bills, time allocation, and closure affect the legislative process?
- Are filibusters acceptable democratic tools for both government and opposition?
- Do committees play a meaningful role in the development of legislation and policy?

**Required readings**


Class 5: Scrutiny and accountability (Wednesday, July 16)

Questions
- What is the doctrine of ministerial accountability?
- How does the size of a legislature affect the potential for scrutiny?
- What tools of scrutiny and accountability are available to legislators?
- Do the Officers of Parliament empower MPs or compete with them?
- What is the estimates process and how is it different from the budget?
- Do MPs have the tools they need to track government spending?

Required readings


Recommended readings

Class 6: Field trip/book discussion (Monday, July 21) – **Book review due**
- Field trip and/or discussion of *Tragedy in the Commons*

PART II – ACTORS IN THE LEGISLATURE

Class 7: Parliamentary parties (Wednesday, July 23)

Questions
- What functions do parties play in parliament?
- What powers does the Prime Minister have over parliament?
- Is party discipline too strong?
- Are there any benefits to MPs from party discipline?
- Should MPs follow their party line? If not, how do they decide?
- Would a Prime Minister who experiences a rebellion be seen as weak?
- Should there be an exception to party discipline for “conscience issues”?

Required readings


Recommended readings


**Class 8: Backbench legislators (Monday, July 28) – Essay proposal due**

Questions
- Should MPs have a job description?
- Is constituency work an important task for an MP?
- Is “free-lancing” by MPs a sign of initiative or weakness?
- Does private member’s business allow MPs to shape legislative outcomes?
- Does caucus provide a meaningful tool for MPs to influence party decisions?
- Do MPs have the resources to perform their duties?

**Required readings**


**Recommended readings**


*Note – Final date to drop without penalty is July 29, 2014*
PART III – INSTITUTIONS AND REFORM

Class 9: Territorial and Provincial Legislatures (Wed., July 30)

Questions

- How do the provincial and territorial legislatures compare with the federal parliament in terms of their capacity to perform the four legislative functions?
- How does the non-partisan system in Nunavut and the NWT operate?
- How does the pattern of one-party dominance affect some assemblies?
- What challenges are created by the smaller size of provincial assemblies?
- Do the provincial and territorial assemblies have sufficient resources?

Required readings


Recommended readings


Holiday: Monday, August 4 – No class
Class 10: The Senate (Wednesday, August 6)

Questions

- What roles is the Senate believed to play within the Canadian political system?
- How does the Senate contribute to the four functions of the Canadian Parliament?
- Does the Senate complement or compete with the House of Commons?
- What are the perceived strengths of the Senate?
- What are the primary concerns with the Senate?
- How can Senate reform occur?
- What proposals have been put forward for Senate Reform?
- How might Senate reform affect the operation of Parliament and Canadian politics?

Required readings


Tonda MacCharles. 2014. “Ottawa Cannot Act Alone to Reform the Senate, Limit Terms or Appoint Only Elected Senators, and Must Have the Consent of Seven Provinces with Half the Country’s Population, the Supreme Court of Canada Ruled Friday.” The Toronto Star.


Recommended readings


### Class 11: Parliamentary Reform (Monday, August 11)

#### Questions
- What ideas for parliamentary reform have been proposed for each of the four functions of the legislature?
- What reforms have been proposed for the operation of parliamentary parties?
- How would these reforms affect backbench legislators?
- Is reform of institutions sufficient, or is a cultural change required?
- What reforms are required at the provincial and territorial level?
- Will Canadians accept a political system that is less predictable?

#### Required readings

*Review reform suggestions from *Tragedy in the Commons*


#### Recommended readings