Description

This seminar provides an overview of the literature on comparative political parties. Our goal is to explore key questions, puzzles and theories on the origins and nature of parties and party systems. Why do parties arise? How do institutions, societal cleavages and strategic action shape parties and party systems? Why do some countries have more parties than others? What explains differences in ideology and party organization? We will also study the role of parties in transitions to democracy and in non-democratic regimes. Finally, we take a look at specific issues of party change, party system collapse, party competition, and party institutionalization in developed and developing democracies including the role of ethnic parties, the relationship between parties and clientelism, and the role of dominant parties.

Course Goals

- identify main similarities and differences in parties and party systems across countries and over time
- improve critical and analytical skills
- conduct original social science research: formulate research questions and hypotheses and test them

Readings

The required readings for this course are based on a series of book chapters, articles and other resources that are all available online through Quercus (library course reserves).
Course Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Requirements</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Due Date</th>
<th>Submission Guidelines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading Discussion</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>powerpoint on Quercus 1h before class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Proposal</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Feb 13th</td>
<td>Quercus before class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Review 1</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>Feb 20th</td>
<td>Quercus before class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poster Presentation</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>March 12th</td>
<td>In-class location TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer Review 2</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>March 19th</td>
<td>Quercus before class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Research Paper</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>April 2nd</td>
<td>Quercus before class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Grade</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Participation (15%)**: Participation is a crucial component of this course. Students are expected to attend lectures and do the assigned weekly readings. As instructor, I will be giving a few introductory remarks to begin the course and facilitate discussion, but otherwise I expect the course to be student-driven. The goal is to give you an opportunity to engage your peers in a seminar-style class. Participation is thus crucial, and you will be evaluated on both the quantity AND quality of your participation. Missing more than three seminars will result in a 0% for participation.

**Leading Discussion (15%)**: Each student will present one reading orally to the class once throughout the semester. Your presentation should be 7-10 minutes long and should use powerpoint. The content of the presentation should critically analyze (NOT merely summarize) one of the readings for your week. You may link that reading to the rest of readings for that week (and to previous readings in the course if you wish). The goal of “leading discussion” is to present the reading to the rest of the class in a concise, critical and interesting manner so as to stimulate discussion.

- Present the main argument of the works that you are reviewing in a clear and concise manner. What is the question or topic of each reading? What are the main arguments and the evidence in support of these arguments? **Note: this part consisting on summarizing the readings should be short.**
- The meat of your presentation should be the next two points on analysis and critique.
- Evaluate the theoretical and empirical soundness of the arguments presented, offering concrete and well-justified criticisms. What are the strengths and weaknesses? Why is the argument good or bad? Does the evidence help support the argument? Are there omitted variables? Does the author consider alternative explanations? If not, what could those be?
- Offer some questions for discussion

The two readings below are an excellent and didactic description of what constitutes a good presentation and a good powerpoint. They will be helpful in preparing your powerpoints and your poster presentation. Please read them carefully.

  [http://simplelink.library.utoronto.ca/url.cfm/459819](http://simplelink.library.utoronto.ca/url.cfm/459819)
  [http://simplelink.library.utoronto.ca/url.cfm/459820](http://simplelink.library.utoronto.ca/url.cfm/459820)

You should post the powerpoint presentation two hours in advance of class the day that you are leading discussion. Presentations will take place between week 2 (January 16th) and the last week of class (April 2nd). You should send me an email by 5:00 pm January 10th indicating three potential choices of weeks to present (in order of preference) and the reading you wish to focus on. I will then assign each student to a
week and a reading trying to respect preferences as much as possible (note that you may not get your first choice of week and/or reading).

**Research Proposal (15%), Peer Review I (2.5%), Poster Session (15%), Peer Review II (2.5%) and Research Paper (35%)**: This course will give you the opportunity to develop a research paper on a topic of your choice within the themes of the course. Ultimately, all papers need to involve original research well beyond required and suggested readings and **must** include an empirical component. They should be well-written, well-argued and follow the structure of an academic article. There are different variants of research paper that you can conduct for this class. I am open to other types of research paper, but you should run your ideas past me before-hand.

- You can identify a new puzzle/question not addressed by the literature and bring empirical evidence (one or more country case studies) to help answer the question or solve the puzzle.
- You can explore/extend an existing hypothesis in the literature through a new case study (or group of case studies), through a new policy issue or other dimension of interest
- You can conduct a replication paper in which you get a hold of the data used by an author in one of the articles, replicate their findings and extend/criticize their work. [This is done usually with large-N analyses, but could potentially be done with a smaller set of cases]

I strongly encourage you to come to office hours to discuss your paper before the research proposal is due and afterwards as well. We will break the task of writing this paper into three steps. First you will write a research proposal. Once you have a draft of your paper we will have a poster presentation; and finally you will write the final version of the paper. You will get feedback at all stages from myself and from the rest of students in the course for the first and second stages.

- **Research Proposal (15%)**: The research proposal should describe your main research question or puzzle and how it fits in the literature. You should provide a literature review that connects your question or puzzle to the existing literature and reveals why it is important. The research proposal should hint at what your argument might be and the research design you will likely use (cases, data...) to evaluate your argument and answer your question. The research proposal should be 3-5 pages long (excluding bibliography).
- **Peer Review I (2.5%)**: You will be responsible to give comments to someone else’s proposal.
- **Poster Presentation (15%)**: Once you have had some time to work on a first draft of your paper, we will hold a poster session in class. You should imagine you are going to an academic conference to present the preliminary draft of your paper. This is work in progress but it should definitively have a clear exposition of your main topic and question, an argument and some data/evidence/development of the argument, even if rough. You will make a poster to present to the class and we will simulate a conference poster session.
- **Peer Review II (2.5%)**: You will be responsible to give comments to someone else’s poster.
- **Final Research Paper (35%)**: The final paper should be 10-15 pages (for undergraduates) and 15-20 pages (for graduates), 12-point Times New Roman, double-spaced, 1” (2.5 cm) margins. The paper should have in text-citations and include a list of references at the end. The list of references does not count towards the page-limit. Any tables or figures that you include in your paper are part of the page-limit.

**Course Policies**

- **Due dates, submission procedures and late penalties**: Please refer to the table above for deadlines. Note that all assignments are submitted online through Quercus (in doc or docx extensions only) and automatically processed through Turnitin. “Normally, students will be required to submit their
course essays to Turnitin.com for a review of textual similarity and detection of possible plagiarism. In doing so, students will allow their essays to be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database, where they will be used solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism. The terms that apply to the University’s use of the Turnitin.com service are described on the Turnitin.com website.”

All work must be submitted on time. Assignments submitted any time after the start of class on the due date will be treated as late. There will be a penalty of 2% per each late day or fraction of a day (including weekends and holidays). Assignments submitted after the start of class but before 5 pm on the due date will be subject to a penalty of 1%. The cut off time for the determination of each late day is 5 pm. Hard copy, emailed or faxed copies of on-time or late assignments will not be accepted.

• Extensions for assignments: Extensions for assignments will only be granted in extenuating circumstances and with appropriate supporting documentation. According to the Faculty of Arts & Science Academic Handbook you can submit a written request for special consideration within one week of the missed test, attaching appropriate documentation, such as a medical certificate [...] or a College Registrar’s note.” If your extenuating circumstance is medical you must submit the original copy of a University of Toronto student medical certificate (http://www.illnessverification.utoronto.ca). Extensions and make-up tests are at my discretion and assignments in other courses are not grounds for an extension.

• Grade appeal policy: The final grade in the course cannot be appealed. You can appeal the grade for an individual assignment and/or test. Students have up to one month from the date of return of the item of term work to query the marking. The appeal must be made in writing to the TA that graded the work explaining the reasons of your dissatisfaction, making explicit references to the grading criteria and to the course and lecture materials. Such re-marking may involve the entire piece of work, and may raise or lower the mark. If the student is not satisfied with this re-evaluation, he or she may appeal to the instructor in charge of the course. According to the Faculty of Arts & Science Academic Handbook “any appeal of a mark beyond the instructor in the course may only be made for an item worth at least 20% of the course mark.”

• Contacting the instructor: Please feel free to stop by my office (SS 3035) during office hours (Tuesdays 4:15-5:15 pm). Office hours are a great time to discuss any questions or concerns you may have about the readings, presentations, research paper etc. Take advantage of them. I expect all lengthy and substantial conversations to happen during office hours rather than by email. For non-substantive questions you can e-mail me at carol.demiguel@utoronto.ca including “Parties Course” in the subject line. I will respond within 2 working days during weekdays.

• Announcements: I will use Quercus to manage this course and to communicate with you outside of class time. It is your responsibility to have an active U of T e-mail address and to access Quercus regularly. I will post announcements, grades, lecture slides (at the end of class) and any new resource or reading material that I consider important for the course.

• Academic integrity and plagiarism: Academic integrity is fundamental to learning and scholarship at the University of Toronto. While I don’t expect to encounter instances of cheating in this class, you should be aware that I take academic integrity very seriously, and that there are significant consequences if you are caught cheating or engaging in academic misconduct. You are expected to know what constitutes Academic Integrity and familiarize yourself with the University of Toronto’s Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters (http://www.governingcouncil.utoronto.ca/policies/behaveac.htm). Potential offences include, but are not limited to:

  – In papers and assignments: Using someone else’s ideas or words without appropriate acknowledgment. Copying material word-for-word from a source (including lecture and study group notes) and not placing the words within quotation marks. Submitting your own work in more than one course without the permission of the instructor. Making up sources or facts. Including
references to sources that you did not use. Obtaining or providing unauthorized assistance on any assignment.

- On tests and exams: Using or possessing unauthorized aids. Looking at someone else’s answers during an exam or test. Misrepresenting your identity. Submitting an altered test for re-grading.
- In academic work: Falsifying institutional documents or grades. Falsifying or altering any documentation required by the University, including (but not limited to) doctor’s notes.

• **Academic integrity checklist:** To remind you of these expectations, and help you avoid accidental offences, **you must include a printed and signed Academic Integrity Checklist with all assignments** (the academic integrity check list is posted on Quercus). **If you do not include the Academic Integrity Checklist with your assignments, your work will not be graded.** If you have questions about appropriate research and citation methods, seek out additional information from me, or from other available campus resources like the U of T Writing Website [http://www.writing.utoronto.ca/advice/using-sources](http://www.writing.utoronto.ca/advice/using-sources). Students are strongly advised to keep rough and draft work and hard copies of their research paper and other assignments.

• **Accessibility needs:** The University of Toronto is committed to accessibility. If you require accommodations for a disability, or have any accessibility concerns about the course, the classroom or course materials, please let me know and contact Accessibility Services as soon as possible at disability.services@utoronto.ca or [http://studentlife.utoronto.ca/accessibility](http://studentlife.utoronto.ca/accessibility).

• **Alteration of the syllabus:** The course schedule and readings may be subject to revision over the course of the semester. Any change in the grading scheme (which I do not expect will happen) will have to be approved by the students of this course in accordance to university regulations.

**Course Schedule**

Jan 9th – **Introduction & course overview**

DUE JAN 10th by 5:00 pm: email 3 potential choices of weeks to present (in order of preference) and the reading you wish to discuss

Jan 16th – **Historical origins of parties: social & cultural explanations**


**Recommended**


• Key, V.O. 1984 [1949]. *Southern Politics in State and Nation*.


Jan 23rd – **Historical origins of parties: institutional & organizational explanations**


*Recommended*


Jan 30th – **Electoral rules, geography and parties**


*Recommended*


• Ordeshook, Peter C. and Olga Shvetsova. “Ethnic heterogeneity, district magnitude, and the number of parties” *American Journal of Political Science*, 38, 1, 100-123.


Feb 6th – **Party systems: fragmentation, institutionalization and nationalization**


Feb 13th – **Competition, party strategy & electoral success I**

**DUE: Research Proposal**


**Recommended**


Feb 20th – **READING WEEK**

Feb 27th – **Competition, party strategy & electoral success II**


March 5th – **Parties and party systems in new democracies: origins & consolidation**


Recommended


- Ellen Lust and David Waldner. *Political Transitions: Authoritarian Legacies and Post-Authoritarian Challenges*

March 12th – POSTER SESSION

LOCATION TBD

March 19th – Parties and clientelism


Recommended


March 26th – The puzzle of permanent incumbency


**Recommended**


April 2nd – Parties and the consolidation of democracy

**DUE: FINAL RESEARCH PAPER**
