The basic purpose of the core course in international relations is to familiarize doctoral students with competing and complementary theoretical approaches to international politics; to develop students’ ability to assess these literatures critically; and to help students refine the theoretical foundations of their subsequent dissertations.

The course opens with an introductory section that provides an overview of some of the classic writings and overarching questions that drive the theoretical study of international politics. The second section of the course seeks to develop a meta-theoretical framework for the analysis of international relations theory. The third part builds on this framework by offering a structured survey of the leading theoretical schools of contemporary international relations theory. The last part of the course discusses a few examples of significant research programs in international security, international political economy, ethics, and change as examples of applied theory.

Course Requirements and Regulations

This course covers a lot of ground and requires a high level of commitment. The small size of this seminar allows for the creation of a productive environment that encourages active participation. In-class discussion is a crucial part of this process and consequently students should be prepared to offer a critical analysis of each week’s reading and actively partake in class discussion.

Students are required to post a brief weekly critical review of the readings on the message board of the class’ website. These ‘reading responses’ should be posted by Sunday 8:00PM in order to allow enough time for everyone to review all of that week’s posts prior to our Monday meeting. These comment papers should be one to two pages in length (doubled spaced) and could include a critique, questions for discussion, points for
further clarification, suggestions for further research or theoretical synthesis etc. We expect all students to read all ‘reading responses’ prior to our afternoon meeting. The message board format on Quercos allows for a discussion of these topics both before and after class. We strongly encourage students to post replies to other students’ commentary and to continue the discussion beyond our weekly meetings.

We do not feel the need to specify a late penalty policy for this kind of course since we hope not be faced with any late submissions. While late penalties are useful for some undergraduate classes, we do not feel that they establish the right atmosphere and right kind of incentives for a graduate core course. This does not mean, however, that we do not take the deadlines detailed below very seriously. In general, we will not accept any late submissions and will not provide any extensions to the course deadlines. When it comes to the weekly commentaries, late submissions will affect our impression of your work and your professionalism.

We will provide a detailed assessment of your performance by the end of the first semester. This feedback will include our assessment of the quality of the fall term’s weekly reading commentaries.

The final grade for this course will be evaluated on the basis of the following components:

Two analytical/applied papers, 6 pages each (maximum), due at the end of the first semester and immediately after the Winter Semester’s reading week.  

Take-home Exam: requires answering two questions on the material covered during the year. The questions will be similar to those that students will later need to answer at the Prelims. Students will receive the two questions at the end of the semester and will have two days to write the exam. No late penalties; a student who fails to submit the exam in time will receive a zero grade.

Participation (including weekly commentaries)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final Grade Breakdown:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Two applied/analytical short papers</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final take home exam</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Quercus**

We will be using Quercus in order to manage and coordinate this course. For this purpose all students must have an active U of T email address (If you have not already established a university e-mail account you can find information on how to do so at Robarts Library).
Important course information, such as the weekly reading commentaries, will be distributed electronically through Quercus. It is a new system for all of us and we hope that we can all figure it out quickly and painlessly.
POL2200 - Course Outline: 2018-2019

I - Introduction
1 - Introduction (September 10)
2 - Classic Readings (September 17)
3 - The Evolution of the Modern State and Nationalism (September 24)
4 - International Order: Continuity and Change (October 1)
5 - Realism and Idealism: Theory or Ideology? (October 15)

II- Meta-theory and Methods
6 - Meta-theory (October 22)
7 - Methods (October 29)

III - Structured Overview of IR Theory

A) Structure Oriented
8 - State and World Systems (November 5)
9 - Neo-realism (November 19)

B) Agent Oriented
10 - Rational Choice and Deterrence theory (November 26)
11 - Psychology/Decision making/Individuals (December 3)

C) Between Agents and Structures
12 - International Organization (December 6)
13 - Neo-liberalism and Ideas (January 7)
14 - Liberal Theories and the Democratic Peace (January 14)
15 - Domestic Politics/Foreign Policy (January 21)
16 - International Society/English School (January 28)

D) Agent/Structure
17 - Constructivism (February 4)
18 - The Practice Turn in IR Theory (February 11)
19 - Critical and Post-Modern Theory (February 25)
20 - Feminist Theory (March 4)

IV - IR Theory Applied

21 - Power/hegemony (March 11)
22- International Security: War, Peace, and Cultural Influences (March 18)
23- Interdependence and International Political Economy (March 25)
24- Globalization/Global Issues (April 1)
25- Ethics and International Relations (TBA)
Recommended Introductory Sources

International Relations Theory


Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal, The Oxford Handbook of International Relations, eds. (Oxford University press, 2010)


Paul R. Viotti and Mark V. Kauppi, International Relations Theory (Longman, 2011).


1. **International Relations Theory: An Overview**

Martin Hollis and Steve Smith, *Explaining and Understanding in International Relations* (Oxford University Press, 1990), 1-44.


**Required Reading within Weeks 1 Through 6**

1.a International Relations Theory: An Overview


Charlotte Epstein, “Constructivism or the eternal return of universals in International Relations. Why returning to language is vital to prolonging the owl’s flight.” European Journal of International Relations 19(3) (2013): 499-519.


Arlene B. Tickner, “Core, periphery and (neo) imperialist International Relations.” European Journal of International Relations 19(3) (2013): 627-646

Millennium, Special Issue on “Re-thinking the International” 35/3 (September 2007). Articles by Frederich Kratochwil (495-511); Robert Cox (513-527); Yale H. Ferguson and Richard W. Mansbach (529-549); Christine Sylvester (551-573); Heikki Patomaki (575-595); Adam David Morton (597-621); Felix Berenskoetter (647-676); Iver Neumann and Ole Jacob Sending (677-701); Didier Bigo and R. B. J Walker (725-739); and Xavier Guillaume (741-758).


Arlene B. Tickner and Ole Waever, Global Scholarship in International Relations: Worlding beyond the West (New York: Routledge, 2009).
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Ian Clark and Iver B. Neumann, eds., *Classical Theories of International Relations* (MacMillan 1996).


**Levels of Analysis**


**What is the purpose of international relations scholarship and what should be its relationship to policy?**


2. **Classic Writings**


2.a Classic Writings


Sun Tzu, The Art of War, Thomas Cleary trans., (Shambhala Press, 1988)


Charles Beitz, Political Theory and International Relations, 11-66.


3. **The Evolution of the Modern State and Nationalism**

**The State**


**Nationalism**


3.a  The Evolution of the Modern State and Nationalism

The State


Nationalism


Ernst B. Haas, “What is Nationalism and Why Should We Study It,” *International Organization* 40 (1986), 707-44.

Ernst B. Haas, *The Uniting of Europe* (Stanford University Press, 1957).


Rogers Brubaker, *Citizenship and Nationhood in France and Germany* (Harvard University Press, 1992),

Rogers Brubaker, *Ethnicity without Groups* (Harvard University Press, 2004),


4. International Order: Continuity and Change


4a **International Order: Continuity and Change**


Constance Duncombe and Tim Dunne “After Liberal World Order” International Affairs, Volume 94 (1) (1 January 2018), 25–42.

G. John Ikenberry, “Why the Liberal World Order Will Survive” Ethics and International Affairs, 32, Special Issue 1 (Rising Powers and the International Order) (Spring 2018): 17-29

David Skidmore and Jeffrey A. Frieden, eds., Contested Social Orders and International Relations (Vanderbilt University Press, 1997).


Ian Clark, Globalization and International Relations Theory (Oxford University Press, 1999).


Gunther Hellmann, Theorizing World Order (Verlag, 2018).


Timoty Snyder, The Road to Unfreedom: Russia, Europe, America (New York: Penguin, 2018).

Change in International Relations


Ernst B. Haas, "Reason and Change in International Life: Justifying a Hypothesis," Journal of International Affairs 44 (1990), 209-240.


Seva Gunitsky, “Complexity and Theories of Change in International Relations,” International Theory 51 (March 2013), 35-63.


Mary Douglas, How Institutions Think (Syracuse University Press, 1986).


Barry Buzan and R. J. Jones, eds., Change and the Study of International Relations (Pinter, 1981).


Albert Hirschman, Shifting Involvements (Princeton University Press, 1982).


George Modelski, "Evolutionary Paradigm for Global Politics,” International Studies Quarterly 40/3 (September 1996), 321-342

William R. Thompson, ed., Evolutionary Interpretations of World Politics (Routledge, 2001)


Michael Doyle and John Ikenberry eds., New Thinking in International Relations Theory. (Westview Press), 54-76.
5. Realism and Idealism: Theory or Ideology?


4.a Realism and Idealism: Theory or Ideology?

Realism


Steve Forde, "Classical Realism" (62-84); Jack Donnelly, "Twentieth-Century Realism" (85-111); Joseph Doyle, "Natural Law and International Ethics" (112-135); and Michael Joseph Smith, "Liberalism and International Relations" (201-224), all in Terry Nardin and David R. Mapel eds., Traditions of International Ethics (Cambridge University Press, 1992).


Jack Donnelly, Realism and International Relations (Cambridge University Press, 2000).


Barry Buzan, *People, States, and Fear* 2nd ed. (Lynne Rienner, 1994).


Reinhold Niebuhr, Moral Man and Immoral Society: A Study in Ethics and Politics (Scribner, 1947).

Kenneth Waltz, Man, the State, and War (Columbia University Press, 1959).


John Herz, Political Realism and Political Idealism (University of Chicago Press, 1951).


Idealism

Andrew Hurrell, "Kant and the Kantian Paradigm in International Relations," Review of International Studies 16/3 (July 1990), 183-205.


Norman Angell, The Great Illusion (Ayer Co Pub., 1972 [1909]).


Ernst B. Haas, *Beyond the Nation State* (Stanford University Press, 1964) part I.


**Synthesis**


6. Epistemological Issues in International Relations Theory

Martin Hollis and Steve Smith, Explaining and Understanding in International Relations (Oxford University Press, 1990), 45-91.

Patrick Thaddeus Jackson, The Conduct of Inquiry in International Relations: Philosophy of Science and Its Implications for the Study of World Politics (Routledge, 2011), chapters 3-7.

Colin Wight, “Philosophy of Social Science and International Relations,” Handbook of International Relations, 2d Edition, 29-56


5-6.a Epistemological Issues in International Relations Theory

Recommended as Background in the Philosophy of Science

Ian Hacking, Representing and Intervening (Cambridge University Press, 1983), 21-31; 41-64.

Gerard Delanty, Social Science: Beyond Constructivism and Realism (University of Minnesota Press, 1997), 39-134.

International Relations Theory: Positivism and Beyond


Special Issue of Millennium 41/2 (2013) on Patrick Thaddeus Jackson’s The Conduct of Inquiry in International Relations. Articles by Fred Chernoff, Adam R. C. Humphreys, Michel Jorsten, Hidemi Suganami, Christine Sylvester, Colin Wight, and Patrick Thaddeus Jackson.


Colin Wight, “Inside the Epistemological Cave All Bets are Off,” Journal of International Relations and Development 10/1 (2007), 40-56.


Steve Smith, "Positivism and Beyond," in Smith, Booth, and Zalewski, International Theory: Positivism and Beyond, 11-44.


Fred Chernoff, *Theory and Metatheory in International Relations* (Palgrave, 2007),


Fred Chernoff, “Conventionalism as an Adequate Basis for Policy-Relevant International Relations Theory,” *European Journal of International Relations* 15/1 (March 2009), 157-194.


Colin Elman and Miriam Fendius Elman, eds. *Progress in International Relations Theory: Appraising the Field* (MIT Press, 2003), chapters 2-3 (1-70).


Ole Weaver, "The Rise and Fall of the Inter-Paradigm Debate," in Smith, Booth, and Zalewski, International Theory: Positivism and Beyond, 149-185.


Steve Smith, "Paradigm Dominance in International Relations: The Development of International Relations as a Social Science," Millennium 16/2 (Summer 1987), 189-206.


K. J. Holsti, "Mirror, Mirror on the Wall, Which are the Fairest Theories of All," International Studies Quarterly 33/3 (September 1989), 255-261.


Colin Elman and Miriam Fendius Elman, “Diplomatic History and International Relations Theory: Respecting Difference and Crossing Boundaries,” (1-21); Jack S. Levy, “Too Important to Leave to the Other: History and Political Science in the Study of International Relations,” (22-33); Stephen H. Haber, David M. Kennedy, and Stephen D. Krasner, “Brothers Under the Skin: Diplomatic History and International Relations,” (34-43); Alexander L. George, “Knowledge for Statecraft: The Challenge for Political Science and History,”(44-52); Edward Ingram, “The Wonderland of the Political Scientist,” (53-63); Paul W. Schroeder, “History and International Relations Theory: Not
Use or Abuse but Fit or Misfit,” (64-74); John Lewis Gaddis, “History, Theory, and Common Ground,” (75-85); “Symposium: History and Theory,” International Security 22/1 (Summer 1997).


**Philosophy of Science and Sociology of Knowledge**


**Positivism: Popper and beyond**


**Scientific Realism**


Werner Callebaut, *Taking the Naturalistic Turn, or, How Real Philosophy of Science is Done: Conversations with William Bechtel* (The University of Chicago Press, 1993).


**Pragmatism**

*Millennium* 31/3 (2002). Special Issue on Pragmatism and International Relations. Articles by Mathias Albert and Tania Kapp Malek, (453-472); Alex Bellamy (473-498); James Bohman(499-524); Molly Cochran, “ 525-548; Matthew Festenstein (549-572).


Jürgen Habermas, *Knowledge and Human Interests* (Beacon, 1971).


**Relativism**


7. Methodology


7.a Methodology


Rudra Sil and and Peter J. Katzenstein, Beyond Paradigms: Analytical Eclecticism in the Study of World Politics (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010)


Alexander George and Andrew Bennett, Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences (MIT Press, 2005).


Philip Tetlock and Aaron Belkin, eds., Counterfactuals and International Relations (Princeton University Press, 1996).


8.a State Systems, World Systems and Systemic Transitions

Systems Theory


State Systems

Kenneth Waltz, Man, the State, and War 2nd ed. (Columbia University Press, 1957), 159-223.


Oran Young, Systems of Political Science (Prentice Hall, 1968).


Ernst B. Haas, *Beyond the Nation State* (Stanford University Press, 1964) part I.


**World Systems**


Giovanni Arrighi and Beverly J. Silver, Chaos and Governance in the Modern World System (University of Minnesota Press, 1999).

Systemic Transitions


9 Structural Theories: Neo Realism


**Highly Recommended**


9.a Neo Realism and Structural Realism

Robert O. Keohane, ed., Neorealism and Its Critics (Columbia University Press, 1986), especially, Robert O. Keohane, "Theory of World Politics: Structural Realism and Beyond" (158-203); Richard K. Ashley, "The Poverty of Neorealism" (255-300); Robert Gilpin, "The Richness of the Tradition of Political Realism" (301-321); Martin Hollis and Steve Smith, Explaining and Understanding in International Relations (Oxford University Press, 1990), 92-118.

Gideon Rose, “Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy,” World Politics 51/1 (October 1998), 144-172.


Kenneth N. Waltz, "Nuclear Myths and Political Realities," American Political Science Review (September 1990), 731-745.


Steven E. Lobell, Norrin M. Ripsman, Jeffrey W. Taliaferro, eds., Neoclassical Realism, the State, and Foreign Policy (Cambridge UP, 2009).

Gideon Rose, “Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy,” World Politics 51 (October 1998) 144-172.


Ole Weaver, “Waltz’s Theory of Theory,” International Relations 23.2  (2009), 201-222.


**Hierarchy**


27 April Hierarchy, II


10. Rational Choice and Deterrence Theory


Donald P. Green and Ian Shapiro, Pathologies of Rational Choice Theory: A Critique of Applications in Political Science (Yale University Press, 1999), 13-46.

Thomas C. Schelling, The Strategy of Conflict (Oxford University Press, 1960), ch. 2


10.a  Rational Choice and Deterrence Theory

Martin Hollis and Steve Smith, Explaining and Understanding in International Relations (Oxford University Press, 1990), 119-142.


George Downs, David Rocke and Peter Barsoom, "Is the Good News About Compliance Good News About Cooperation?" International Organization 50/3 (Summer 1996), 379-408.


David Lake and Robert Powell, eds., Strategic Choice and International Relations (Princeton University Press, 1999)

**Deterrence Theory**


Alexander L. George and Richard Smoke, Deterrence in American Foreign Policy, (Columbia University Press, 1974).


Dr. Strangelove or How I Learned to Stop Worrying and Love the Bomb, Stanley Kubrick, dir., (1964).
11. Decision-making/Psychology/Individuals


Recommended:

11.a Decision Making/Psychology


David O. Sears et al., eds., *Political Psychology*, (LEA, 2001).


Alexander George and Juliette George, Woodrow Wilson and Colonel House: a Personality Study (Dover Publications, 1964)


Individual Decision Makers


12. International Organization


Highly Recommended


12a. International Organization


Beth Simmons and Allison Danner, Credible Commitments and the International Criminal Court,” International Organization 64/2 (April 2010), 225-256.


New Institutionalism


13. Neo-Liberalism


Judith Goldstein and Robert Keohane, Ideas and Foreign Policy; Beliefs, Institutions, and Political Change (Cornell University Press, 1993), 3-30.
13.a Mid Level Theories: Neo-Liberalism


Stephen Haggard and Beth Simmons, "Theories of International Regimes," International Organization 41/3 (1987), 491-517.

Andrew Hurrell, "International Society and the Study of Regimes" (49-72); Harald Muller, "The Internalization of Principles, Norms, and Rules by Governments: The Case of Security Regimes" (361-388); and Peter Mayer, Volker Rittberger, and Michael Zurn, "Regime Theory: State of the Art and Perspectives" (391-430), all in Volker Rittberger, ed., Regime Theory and International Relations (Clarendon Press, 1993).

Andreas Hasenclever, Peter Mayer and Volker Rittberger, Theories of International Regimes (Cambridge University Press, 1997).


Mark W. Zacher, "Toward a Theory of International Regimes," *Journal of International Affairs* 44 (Spring 1990), 139-158.


**Ideas**

Judith Goldstein and Robert Keohane, *Ideas and Foreign Policy: Beliefs, Institutions, and Political Change* (Cornell University Press, 1993),


**Ideas and Epistemic Communities**


14. Liberal Theories/Domestic Politics/ and Foreign Policy

Contemporary IR Liberal Theory


14.a Liberalism and the Democratic Peace

Liberal Theory


Michael W. Doyle, "Liberalism and World Politics," in Charles W. Kegley, Controversies in International Relations Theory: Realism and the Neoliberal Challenge (St Martin’s Press, 1995), 81-94.


Ernst B. Haas, Nationalism, Liberalism, and Progress, Vol. 1 The Rise and Decline of Nationalism (Cornell University Press, 1997).


Andrew Hurrell, "Kant and the Kantian Paradigm in International Relations," Review of International Studies 16/3 (1990), 183-205.


**Democratic Peace**


Bruce M. Russett, Grasping the Democratic Peace (Princeton University Press, 1994)


Michael Brown, Sean Lynn-Jones and Steven E. Miller, eds., Debating the Democratic Peace (MIT Press, 1996)


15. Domestic Politics/ Foreign Policy


Jack L. Snyder, *Myths of Empire*, (Cornell University Press, 1993), 21-65


15.a Domestic Politics/ Foreign Policy


Stephen Walt, Revolution and War, (Cornell University Press, 1997).


Helen V. Milner and Robert O. Keohane, "Internationalization and Domestic Politics" (3-24); and Jeffry A. Frieden and Robert Rogowski, "The Impact of the International Economy on National Policies: An Analytical Overview" (25-47), both in Robert O.


16. International Society/ English School


16.a  International Society/ English School

Andrew Liknalter and Hidemi Suganami, The English School of International Relations (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2006)


Kai Alderson and Andrew Hurrell, Hedley Bull on International Society (St Martin's, 2000).


Rick Fawn and Jeremy Larkins, eds., International Society after the Cold War (St. Martin’s Press, 1996).


17. Constructivism


Alexander Wendt, Social Theory of International Politics (Cambridge University Press, 1999), chapters 3,4,6,7.


17.a Constructivism


Stefano Guzzini and Anna Leander, Constructivism and International Relations: Alexander Wendt and His Critics (Routledge, 2006), especially Wendt, "Social Science as Cartesian Science."


Andrew A. G. Ross, “Coming in From the Cold.”” Constructivism and the Emotions,” European Journal of International Relations 12/2 (June 2006), 197-222.


Emanuel Adler, Communitarian International Relations: The Epistemic Foundations of International Relations (Routledge, 2005)


Ernst B. Haas and Peter M. Haas, "How I Learned to Escape Physics Envy and to Love Pluralism and Complexity," in Michael Brecher and Frank Harvey, eds., Millennial Reflections in International Studies (University of Michigan Press, 2002), 234-245.


Marc Lynch, *State Interests and Public Spheres* (Colombia University Press, 1999).


18. Identity and “The Practice Turn” in IR Theory

Identity


The Practice Turn


18.a Identity, “the Practice Turn,” and Networks

Identity


(Available on the course document section of this website)


Michael Barnett, Dialogues in Arab Politics (Colombia University Press, 1998).

Amitav Acharya, Constructing a Security Community in Southeast Asia: ASEAN and the Problem of Regional Order (Routledge, 2001)


Emanuel Adler, Federica Bicchi, Beverly Crawford, and Raffaella Del Sarto, eds., The Convergence of Civilizations: Constructing a Mediterranean Region (Toronto University Press, 2006)

Janice Bially Mattern, Ordering International Politics: Identity, Crisis, and Representational Force (Routledge, 2005).


Identity and Ethnic Conflict


Ted R. Gurr and Barbara Harff, Ethnic Conflict in World Politics in World Politics (Westview, 1994)


Michael Brown, Nationalism and Ethnic Conflict (MIT 1997).


Donald Horowitz, Ethnic Groups in Conflict (University of California Press, 1985)


Stathis Kalyvas, et al., The Logic of Violence in Civil War (Cambridge University Press, 2006).


James Fearon and David Laitin, “Ethnicity, Insurgency and Civil War,” American Political Science Review 97/1 (2003), 75-90

“The Practice Turn”


Emanuel Adler, Communitarian International Relations (Routledge, 2005), chapter 1.


Iver B. Neumann and Ole Jacob Sending, Governing the Global Polity: Practice, Mentality, Rationality (University of Michigan Press, 2010).

Special Issue of Millennium 40 (June 2012) on “Out of the Ivory Tower,” especially articles by Chris Brown (439-456); Morton Skumsrud Andersen and Iver B. Neumann (457-481); Christian Reus-Smit (525-540); Alexander D. Barder and Daniel J. Levine (585-604); Innana Himati Ataya (625-646).


Networks


Anne-Marie Slaughter, A New World Order (Princeton University Press, 2004)


19. Critical and Post-Modern Theories


19.a Critical and Post-Modern Theories

Critical Theory


Jim George and David Campbell, "Patterns of Dissent and the Celebration of Difference," International Studies Quarterly 34/3 (Sept 1990), 269-293.


Richard Wyn Jones, ed., Critical Theory and World Politics (Lynne Rienner, 2001)


Jutta Weldes, Mark Laffey, Hugh Gusterson, Raymond Duvall, eds., Cultures of Insecurity: States, Communities and the Production of Danger (University of Minnesota Press, 1999).


Jürgen Habermas, Knowledge and Human Interests, 2nd. ed. (Heinemann, 1978).


James Der Derian, Critical International Relations – An Introduction: From the Barbarian to the Cyborg (Routledge, 2009).


Jenny Edkins and Nick Vaughan-Williams, eds., Critical Theorists and International Relations (Routledge, 2009).


**Postmodernism**


David Campbell and Michael Shapiro, eds., *Moral Spaces: Rethinking Ethics and World Politics* (University of Minnesota Press, 1999).


Jim George, *Discourses of Global Politics: A Critical (Re)Introduction to International Relations* (Lynne Rienner, 1994).


20. Feminist Approaches

Birgit Locher and Elisabeth Prugl, "Feminism and Constructivism: Worlds Apart or Sharing the Middle Ground?" *International Studies Quarterly* 45/1 (March 2001), 111-130.


20.a Feminist Approaches


Brooke A. Ackerly, Maria Stern and Jacqui True, eds., Feminist Methodologies for International Relations (Cambridge University Press, 2006)


Mary K. Meyer and Elizabeth Prugl, Gender Politics in Global Governance (Rowman and Littlefield, 1999).


Marysia Zalewski and Jane Parpart, eds., The 'Man Question’ in International Relations (Westview, 1998)


V. Spike Peterson and Anne Sisson Runyan, Global Gender Issues (Westview, 1993).

"Feminists Write International Relations," Special Issue of Alternatives 18 (Winter 1993).

M. Marchand and Anne Sisson Runyan, Gender and Global Restructuring: Sightings, Sites, and Resistances (Routledge, 2000).


21. Power


Brian C. Schmidt, "Competing Realist Conceptions of Power," *Millennium* 33/3 (June 2005), 523-549.


21.a Power


Special Issue on Power, *Millennium* 33/3 (June 2005).


Status


22 International Security: War, Peace, and Cultural Influences

Theories of War


R. Harrison Wagner, War and the State, (Michigan University Press, 2007), Ch. 1


Theories of Peace and the Culture of International Security


22.a International Security: War, Peace, and Cultural Influences


A. General Studies of War and Peace


Michael Lipson, “Peacekeeping: Organized Hypocresy?” European Journal of International Relations 13 (march 2007), 5-34.


Quincy Wright, A Study of War, (University of Chicago Press, 1965).


Barbara Ehrenreich, Blood Rites: Origins and History of the Passions of War, (Henry Holt, 1997).


Dan Reitel and Allan Stam, Democracies at War (Princeton University Press, 2002).


B. Realist Approaches to War


B.1 Spiral Model

Robert Jervis, Perception and Misperception in International Politics, (Princeton University Press, 1976), ch. 2


B.2 The Offensive/Defensive Balance


B.3 Balance of Power


Gideon Rose, “Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy,” *World Politics* 51/1 (1998), 144-172.


**B.4 Power Transition and Cyclical Theories of War**


**C. Domestic Explanations for War**


**D. Institutional Approaches to the Study of Peace**


**E. Constructivist Approaches to the Study of Peace**


F. Peacemaking and the End of Wars

Jacob Bercovitch and Jeffrey Z. Rubin, eds., Mediation in International Relations, (St. Martin’s, 1992).


Marieke Kleiboer, The Multiple Realities of International Mediation, (Lynne Reinner, 1998).


Stephen John Stedman, Donald Rothchild, and Elizabeth Cousens, eds., Ending Civil Wars: The Implementation of Peace Agreements, (Lynne Rienner, 2002).


G. Terrorism


Michael C. Horowitz, “Non-State Actors and the Diffusion of Innovations: The Case of Suicide Terrorism,” International Organization 64/1 (January 2010), 33-64.


H. Peace: Without Quotation Marks


I. The Culture of International Security

Richard Price and Nina Tannenwald, "Norms and Deterrence: The Nuclear and Chemical Weapons Taboos" (114-152); Elizabeth Kier, "Culture and French Military Doctrine before World War II" (186-215); Alastair Iain Johnston, "Cultural Realism and Strategy in Maoist China" (216-268); in Peter J. Katzenstein, ed., The Culture of National Security (Columbia University Press, 1996).


Bill Mc Sweeney, Security, Identity and Interests: A Sociology of International Relations (Cambridge University Press, 1999), 13-67, 81-100, 152-172, 175-197, 208-219


Gareth Evans, "Cooperative Security and Interstate Conflict," *Foreign Policy* (Fall 1994).


Michael C. Williams, "Rethinking the 'Logic' of Deterrence," *Alternatives* 17 (1992), 67-93.


"Freedom From Fear: Canada's Foreign Policy for Human Security "Canada, Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade" (September 2000).


Gary King and Christopher Murry "Rethinking Human Security," *Political Science Quarterly* 116/4 (January 2002), 585-610


**Securitization**


23. Interdependence and International Political Economy


24. Globalization and Global Governance


Matthew Hoffmann, *Climate Governance at a Crossroads: Experimenting with a Global Response to Kyoto* (Oxford University Press, 2011), ch. 1
23-24.a International Political Economy and Global Governance


Special Issue of Review of International Political Economy 16/1 (February 2009).
Articles by David Lake (47-57), Peter J. Katzenstein (122-135), Henry Farrell and Martha Finnemore (58-71).


Susan Strange, States and Markets, (Pinter, 1988).


Herman Schwartz, *States Versus Markets*, (St. Martin’s, 1994).


Helen V. Milner and Robert O. Keohane, "Internationalization and Domestic Politics" (3-24); and Jeffry A. Frieden and Robert Rogowski, "The Impact of the International Economy on


Henry Farrell, The Political Economy of Trust: Institutions, Interests and Inter-Firm Cooperation in Italy and Germany (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2009)


Guns and Butter


Kevin Narizni, “Both Guns and Butter, or Neither: Class Interests in the Political Economy of Rearmament,” American Political Science Review 97/2 (2003), 203-220.


**Economic Interdependence and International Security**


**Trade Policies**


**Monetary and Finance Policies**


Mark Blyth, “Paradigms and Paradox: The Politics of Economic Ideas in Two Monetary Crises, Governance 2012


Barry Eichengreen, International Monetary Arrangements for the 21st Century, (The Brookings Institute, 1994).


Stephan Haggard et al., eds., The Politics of Finance in Developing Countries, (Cornell University Press, 1993).


Barry Eichengreen, Financial Crises and What to Do about Them, (Oxford University Press, 2002).
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