

POL 2200Y1Y CORE COURSE: INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

Fall/Winter 2017-2018: Tuesday, 10:00AM to 12:00PM

Prof. Carla Norrlof, winter term, carla.norrlof@utoronto.ca

(Prof. Vsevlod Gunitsky, fall term, s.gunitsky@utoronto.ca)

The purpose of the core course in international relations is to familiarize doctoral students with theoretical approaches in the field; to develop the ability to assess IR research critically and constructively; and to establish the foundations for doctoral dissertations.

The course opens with an introductory section that provides an overview of some of the major themes and debates that drive the study of international relations. The second section of the course examines various theoretical approaches used for analyzing international politics. The third surveys some applied research in sub-fields like international security, international organization, international political economy, and other major areas of analysis in international relations.

Course Requirements and Expectations

Participation and Weekly Responses

This course covers a lot of ground and requires a high level of commitment. Engagement with the readings, and active participation are crucial elements. Students are required to post a brief weekly comment on the required (starred•) readings on the message board of the class website.

These responses should be posted by noon on Monday to allow enough time for everyone to review all posts prior to our Tuesday morning meeting. A physical copy should be turned in the beginning of class on Tuesdays. They should be 600-800 words (ca. 2 pages) in length, (12pt font, double-spaced, standard margins, etc).

Your response should analyze and make connections between the readings, and could consist of a comparative critique, questions for discussion, points for further clarification, or any other comments and observations. The responses should not summarize the readings. You need not draw on outside sources, although connections to previous course readings may sometimes be helpful. The authors you read are often engaged in a conversation with each other, whether implicitly or explicitly. Your task is to take part in that conversation by criticizing the readings when you see weaknesses, supporting them with specific examples when you see strengths, making comparisons or contrasts between the different arguments, and drawing links to larger themes or other weekly readings. While you do not need to engage every assigned reading in the commentary, your response should address a major theme or debate covered in the readings that week. The message board format allows for a discussion

of these topics outside of class. Students are encouraged to post replies to other students' commentaries and to continue the discussion beyond our weekly meetings.

There is no late penalty policy for this kind of course since there should be no late submissions. The deadlines noted below should be taken very seriously. In general, there will be no extensions. When it comes to the weekly commentaries, late submissions will shape impressions of work habits and commitment to the field.

The final grade for this course will be evaluated on the basis of the following components:

1. Weekly commentaries: 30%
2. Course participation: 20%
3. Mini-review: 5% (due Dec 4)
4. Review Essay: 20% (due Dec 20)
5. Take-home test: 25% (due April 13)

1. A mini-review (5%) is a capsule review of whichever book is chosen in Week 12. The reviews are modeled on the short reviews in *Perspectives on Politics*. Due December 4, about 1K words.

2. Review essays (20%) provide a survey of important new work in a research sub-field. See the longer, multi-book reviews in *Perspectives on Politics*, *World Politics*, or *International Organization* for examples. (We will encounter some of these in the course.) The review essay should focus on two recent books in a sub-field of IR, identify common themes, linkages, and contrasts between the texts, and highlight important questions being asked by these books that engage one or more debates covered in the first semester of the course. Send Professor Gunitsky your list of books for approval by end of October. Due December 20, about 10K words.

3. The take-home test (25%) requires students to answer two broad questions citing the readings in the second half of the semester. Responses should be analytic and show wide in-depth understanding of the course material. Students will be given two days, 48 hours, to prepare, research and submit their assignment to Professor Norrlof via email and in hardcopy. Due April 13, 2018, maximum 5,000 words excluding bibliography.

Readings

Readings marked with • are required. In the first half, all the listed readings are required. The additional/recommended readings are meant to be representative of a particular literature, not a definitive list of supplementary readings.

Most readings are either linked here or (in the case of journal articles) accessible via the University library system <https://oneseach.library.utoronto.ca/> or Jstor <https://www.jstor.org/>. Relevant book chapters are uploaded on Blackboard.

Part I – Themes and Debates in IR Theory

1. September 12 – Introduction. The uses and limits of international relations theory.

- Selections from Thucydides, *The History of the Peloponnesian War*
1.66-1.88 [The Spartan Debate]
5.84-5.116 [The Melian Dialogue]
- Eliot Cohen (2007) “Thucydides, Really!” *The American Interest* 2(3): 163-166.
<https://www.the-american-interest.com/2007/01/01/thucydides-really/>
- Richard Betts (2007) “Not with my Thucydides you Don’t.” *The American Interest* 2(4): 140-143.
<https://www.the-american-interest.com/2007/03/01/not-with-my-thucydides-you-dont/>
- Michael Crowley, “Why the White House Is Reading Greek History” *Politico*, June 21, 2017.
<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/06/21/why-the-white-house-is-reading-greek-history-215287>
- Arthur Waldron, “There is no Thucydides Trap.”
<http://supchina.com/2017/06/12/no-thucydides-trap/>
- S.N. Jaffe, “The Risks and Rewards of Thucydides’ History of the Peloponnesian War” *War on the Rocks*, July 6 2017
<https://warontherocks.com/2017/07/the-risks-and-rewards-of-thucydides-history-of-the-peloponnesian-war/>
- Eric Robinson, “What Thucydides Teaches us about Politics”
<https://warontherocks.com/2017/08/what-thucydides-teaches-us-about-war-politics-and-the-human-condition/>
- Richard Ned Lebow (2001) “Thucydides the Constructivist.” *American Political Science Review* 95(3):547-560.
- David Welch (2003) “Why International Relations Theorists Should Stop Reading Thucydides.” *Review of International Studies* 29(3): 301-319.

also:

- Stephen Walt (1998) “International Relations: One World, Many Theories”, Foreign Policy. [this survey of the standard paradigms is dated somewhat, but useful if IR is not your major or you’ve had little exposure to it]

<https://people.ucsc.edu/~rlipsch/migrated/pol160A/Walt.1998.pdf>

- TRIP around the World: Teaching, Research, and Policy Views of International Relations Faculty in 20 Countries. [Skim the graphs to get a sense of key journals and recent trends in the discipline.]

https://www.wm.edu/offices/itpir/documents/trip/trip_around_the_world_2011.pdf

2. Sept 19 – Power, Interests, Ideas. Major themes and debates in IR.

- [BB] Philip Ball (2006) “Raising Leviathan: The Brutish World of Thomas Hobbes,” pp. 23-29 and “Order in Eden: Learning to Cooperate,” pp. 410-428 in *Critical Mass: How One Thing Leads to Another*. New York: Farrar, Straus, and Giroux.

- Michael Barnett and Raymond Duvall. 2005. “Power in International Politics.” *International Organization* 59(1): 39-75.

- Robert Jervis (2002) “Theories of War in an Era of Leading-Power Peace” *American Political Science Review* 96(1): 1-14.

- John Lewis Gaddis (1992) “International Relations Theory and the End of the Cold War.” *International Security* 17(3): 5-58.

- Thomas Risse-Kappen (1993) “Ideas Do Not Float Freely: Transnational Relations, Domestic Structures and the End of the Cold War.” *International Organization* 48 (2): 185-214.

3. Sep 26 – Ontology and Epistemology. The philosophy of science in IR.

- Jackson, Patrick Thaddeus, and Daniel H. Nexon. "Paradigmatic Faults in International-Relations Theory." *International Studies Quarterly* 53.4 (2009): 907-930.

- Colin Wight (2013) “Philosophy of Social Science and International Relations,” in Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, and Beth A. Simmons, editors, *Handbook of International Relations*. London: Sage, pp. 29-56.

- Thomas C. Walker (2010) “The Perils of Paradigm Mentalities: Revisiting Kuhn, Lakatos, and Popper.” *Perspectives on Politics* 8(2): 433-351.

- [BB] Arthur Koestler (1964) “The Evolution of Ideas” from *The Act of Creation*

- [BB] Selections from *What is History?* by E.H. Carr (1961), pp.8-15, 20-23, 28-35, 44-

- Alexander Wendt (1998) “On Constitution and Causation in International Relations.” *Review of International Studies* 24(5): 101-117.
- David Lake (2013) “Theory is Dead, Long Live Theory: The End of the Great Debates and the Rise of Eclecticism in International Relations.” *European Journal of International Relations* 19(3): 567-587.
- John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt (2013) “Leaving Theory Behind: Why Simplistic Hypothesis Testing is Bad for International Relations.” *European Journal of International Relations* 19(3).

4. Oct 3 – Research Design and Causal Inference

- Robert Jervis, *System Effects* (1998), Chapters 1, 2, 4
- [BB] Selection from *How We Know What Isn't So* by Thomas Gilovich (1991), pp.9-28
- [BB] Selections from *The Craft of Political Research* by W. Phillips Shively (2009), pp.14-17, 23-31, 74-81, 97-110
- [BB] Charles Whelan (2013) *Naked Statistics*. New York: W.W. Norton. Chapters 12, 13.
- Andrew Bennett and Colin Elman (2007) “Case Study Methods in the International Relations Subfield.” *Comparative Political Studies*. 40(2): 170-195.
- Ronald Rogowski (1995) “The Role of Theory and Anomaly in Social-Scientific Inference.” *American Political Science Review* 89(2): 467-470.
- Kenneth Waltz (1997) “Evaluating Theories.” *American Political Science Review* 91(4): 913-917.
- James Mahoney (2010) “After KKV: The New Methodology of Qualitative Research.” *World Politics* 62(1): 120-147.

Part II – Approaches in International Relations

5. Oct 10. Roots of Modern IR Theory.

- E.H. Carr (1964) *The Twenty Years' Crisis: 1919-1939*. Harper Torchbooks, chapters 1, 2, 5-8 [Get the Palgrave edition with the intro by Michael Cox.]

- Morgenthau, H. (1948). The Political Science of E. H. Carr. *World Politics*, 1(1), 127-134
- Mearsheimer, John J. "EH Carr vs. idealism: the battle rages on." *International Relations* 19.2 (2005): 139-152.
- Michael C. Williams (2013) "In the beginning: The International Relations enlightenment and the ends of International Relations theory." *European Journal of International Relations* 19(3)
- Brian C. Schmidt (2013) "On the History and Historiography of International Relations" in Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, Beth A. Simmons eds., *Handbook of International Relations*. Sage, pp. 3-28.

6. October 17 – Modern Realism

- Kenneth N. Waltz (1979) *Theory of International Politics*, Chapters 1, 3-6
- Kenneth Waltz (1990) "Realist Thought and Neorealist Theory." *Journal of International Affairs* 44.
- Robert Jervis (1998) "Realism and the Study of World Politics." *International Organization* 52(4): 971-992.

7. October 24 - Realism: Legacies and Criticisms.

- Kenneth N. Waltz (1986) "Reflections on Theory of International Politics: A Response to My Critics," in Robert O. Keohane, ed., *Neorealism and Its Critics*. Columbia UP, pp. 322-345. [Browse chapters 6-10 for a sense of the critiques].
- Fareed Zakaria (1992) "Realism and Domestic Politics." *International Security* 17(1): 177-198.
- Brian C. Schmidt (2005) "Competing Realist Conceptions of Power." *Millennium* 33(3): 523-549.
- Glenn Snyder (2002) "Mearsheimer's World – Offensive Realism and the Struggle for Security." *International Security* 27(1): 149-73.
- Brian Rathbun (2008) "A Rose by Any Other Name: Neoclassical Realism as the Logical and Necessary Extension of Structural Realism." *Security Studies* 17(2): 294-321.

- Jeffrey Legro and Andrew Moravcsik (1999) “Is Anybody Still a Realist? International Security 24(4): 5-55 AND “Correspondence: Brother, Can You Spare a Paradigm?” International Security 25(1).

8. Oct 31 – Rationalism

- Thomas Schelling, *Strategy of Conflict* (1960), Chapter 3
- Robert Axelrod (1984) *The Evolution of Cooperation*. New York: Basic Books. Chapters 1, 2, 4.
- James D. Fearon (1995), “Rationalist Explanations of War.” *International Organization* 49(3): 379-414.
- David Lake (2010) “Two Cheers for Bargaining Theory: Assessing Rationalist Explanations of the Iraq War” *International Security* 35(3): 7-52.
- Barbara Walter (2009) “Bargaining Failures and Civil War.” *Annual Review of Political Science* 12: 243-61.

9. Nov 14 - Liberal and Neoliberal-Institutionalist Theories

- Karl Polanyi, *The Great Transformation*, (Beacon Press, 1957), ch.1, 3-4
- David Baldwin (1993) “Neoliberalism, Neorealism, and World Politics.” In *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate*, chapter 1.
- Robert Jervis (1999) “Realism, Neoliberalism, and Cooperation: Understanding the Debate.” *International Security* 24(1): 42-63.
- John Mearsheimer (1994) “The False Promise of International Institutions.” *International Security* 19(3): 5-49.
- Christian Reus-Smit (2001) “The Strange Death of Liberal International Relations Theory.” *European Journal of International Law* 12(3): 573-93.
- Ikenberry, G. John, ‘Liberal Internationalism 3.0’, *Perspectives on Politics* 7.1 (2009): 71-87.
- Keohane, R. O. (1984): *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, ch. 1, 3-5

10. Nov 21 – Constructivism

- Emanuel Adler (1997) “Seizing the Middle Ground: Constructivism in World Politics.” *European Journal of International Relations* 3(3): 319-363.
- Alexander Wendt (1999), *Social Theory of International Politics*. Chapters 1-3, 6
- Dale Copeland (2000) “The Constructivist Challenge to Structural Realism.” *International Security* 25(2): 187-212.
- Ruggie, John. “What Makes the World Hang Together? Neo-utilitarianism and the Social Constructivist Challenge,” *International Organization* 52 (4): 855-885.
- Thomas Risse (2000) “‘Let’s Argue!’: Communicative Action in World Politics.” *International Organization* 54(1): 1-40.
- Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink (1998) “International Norm Dynamics and Political Change.” *International Organization* 52(4): 887-917.
- Petrova, M. H. (2003). The end of the cold war: a battle or bridging ground between rationalist and ideational approaches in International Relations?. *European Journal of International Relations*, 9(1), 115-163.

11. November 28. Critical Theory.

- Robert W. Cox (1986) “Social Forces, States, and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory,” in Robert O. Keohane, ed. *Neorealism and Its Critics*. Columbia UP, pp. 204-254.
- Richard Price (2008) “Moral Limit and Possibility in World Politics.” *International Organization* 62 (2): 191-220.
- Nicholas Rengger and Ben Thirkell-White (2007) “Still Critical After All These Years? The Past, Present and Future of Critical Theory in International Relations.” *Review of International Studies* 33(Supplement S1): 3-24.
- Neta Crawford (2003) “Just War Theory and the U.S. Counterterrorism War.” *Perspectives on Politics* 1(1): 5-25.
- Laura Sjoberg and J. Ann Tickner (2013) “Feminist Perspectives on International Relations,” in Walter Carlsnaes, Thomas Risse, Beth A. Simmons, eds., *Handbook of International Relations*, 2nd Edition, pp. 170-194.

12. December 5. International Relations in Historical Perspective, Book Week (TBD)

- Schroeder, Paul W. "History and international relations theory: Not use or abuse, but fit or misfit." *International Security* 22.1 (1997): 64-74.
- Lawson, G. (2012). The eternal divide? History and international relations. *European Journal of International Relations*, 18(2), 203-226.
- MacKay, Joseph, and Christopher David LaRoche. "The conduct of history in International Relations: rethinking philosophy of history in IR theory." *International Theory* 9.2 (2017): 203-236.
- Robert A. Pape and Chaim D. Kaufmann (1999) "Explaining Costly International Moral Action: Britain's Sixty-year Campaign against the Atlantic Slave Trade." *International Organization* 53(4): 631-668.
- Paul Kennedy (1984) "The First World War and the International Power System." *International Security* 9(1): 7-40.
- Sebastian Galiani and Gustavo Torrens (2106) Why Not Taxation and Representation? A Note on the American Revolution.
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2846776
- Jordan Branch, "Mapping the Sovereign State: Technology, Authority, and Systemic Change," *International Organization* 65 (winter 2011), 1-36.
- Weyland, Kurt. "Crafting Counterrevolution: How Reactionaries Learned to Combat Change in 1848." *American Political Science Review* 110.2 (2016): 215-231.

Choose one of these four. We will read the book with the most votes, and it will form the subject of your mini-review. Send Prof. Gunitsky your choice by end of September.

Podany, Amanda H. *Brotherhood of Kings: How International Relations Shaped the Ancient Near East*. Oxford university press, 2010.

Osterhammel, Jürgen. *The transformation of the world: a global history of the nineteenth century*. Princeton University Press, 2014.

Fukuyama, Francis. *Political order and political decay: From the industrial revolution to the globalization of democracy*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2014.

James C. Scott, *Against the Grain: A Deep History of the Earliest States*. Yale University Press, 2017.

Spring Semester: Research Programs in International Relations

Part I –Conflict, Cooperation and Order

* (F) indicates that the reading has been authored by a faculty member at the University of Toronto

1. Jan 9– The Nation-State and the International System

- Michael C. Taylor (1987). *The Possibility of Cooperation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, ch. 6
- Mancur Olson (1993) “Dictatorship, Democracy, and Development.” *American Political Science Review* 87(3): 567-576.
- Spruyt, Hendrik (1994). *The Sovereign State and Its Competitors*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, ch. 1-2
- Robert Bates (2001) *Prosperity and Violence: The Political Economy of Development*. W.W. Norton, ch. 3-4

Jeffrey Herbst (1990) “War and the State in Africa” *International Security* 14(4): 117-39.

Tilly, Charles (1992) *Coercion, Capital, and European States, AD 990-1992*. Cambridge, Mass.: Basil Blackwell, ch. 3

John Gerard Ruggie (1993) “Territoriality and Beyond: Problematizing Modernity in International Relations.” *International Organization* 47(1): 141-176.

Krasner, Stephen D. (1999) *Sovereignty organized hypocrisy*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, ch. 1

2. Jan. 16 Interstate Conflict and International Security

- Jervis, Robert. (1978) Cooperation Under the Security Dilemma. *World Politics* 30:167-214.
- Walt, Stephen M. (1985) Alliance Formation and the Balance of World Power. *International Security* 9:3-43.

- Sagan, Scott D. (1994) The Perils of Proliferation: Organization Theory, Deterrence Theory, and the Spread of Nuclear Weapons. *International Security* 18:66-107.
- Thompson, William R. (2001) Identifying Rivals and Rivalries in World Politics. *International Studies Quarterly* 45:557-86.
- Leeds, Brett Ashley. (2003) Do Alliances Deter Aggression? The Influence of Military Alliances on the Initiation of Militarized Interstate Disputes. *American Journal of Political Science* 47:427-39.
- Gleditsch, Nils Petter, Steven Pinker, Bradley A. Thayer, Jack S. Levy, and William R. Thompson. (2013) The Forum: The Decline of War. *International Studies Review* 15(3) 15:396-419.

Levy, Jack S. (1985) Theories of General War. *World Politics* 37:344-74.

Achen, Christopher H., and Duncan Snidal. (1989) Rational Deterrence Theory and Comparative Case Studies. *World Politics* 41:143-69.

Lieber, Keir A., and Daryl G. Press. (2009) The Nukes We Need: Preserving the American Deterrent. *Foreign Affairs* 88:39-51.

Glaser, Charles L. (2011) *Rational Theory of International Politics: The Logic of Competition and Cooperation*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, ch. 3, 6

Gartzke, Erik, and Jon R. Lindsay. (2015) Weaving Tangled Webs: Offense, Defense, and Deception in Cyberspace. *Security Studies* 24:316-48. (F)

3. Jan. 23– Hegemony, Hierarchy, Unipolarity and International Order

- Gilpin, Robert. (1981) *War and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, ch. 1-3
- Snidal, Duncan. (1985) "The Limits of Hegemonic Stability Theory." *International Organization*, 39(4), 580-614.
- Nye, J. S. (1995): "The Case for Deep Engagement," *Foreign Affairs*, 74, 90-102.
- Lake, D. A. (1996): "Anarchy, Hierarchy, and the Variety of International Relations," *International Organization*, 50, 1-33.
- Wohlforth, W. C. (1999): "The Stability of a Unipolar World," *International Security*, 24, 5-41.

• Monteiro, N. P. (2011): "Unrest Assured: Why Unipolarity Is Not Peaceful," *International Security*, 36, 9-40.

• Mearsheimer, John J, and Stephen M Walt. (2016) The Case for Offshore Balancing: A Superior U.S. Grand Strategy. *Foreign Affairs* 95:70-83.

Krasner, Stephen D. (1976) State Power and the Structure of International Trade. *World Politics* 28:317-47.

Nexon, D., and T. Wright (2007): "What's at Stake in the American Empire Debate," *American Political Science Review*, 101, 253-271.

Norrlöf, Carla. (2010) *America's Global Advantage: US Hegemony and International Cooperation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (F)

Brooks, Stephen G, and William C Wohlforth. (2016) *America Abroad: The United States' Global Role in the 21st Century*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

4. Jan. 30– Great Power Rivalry and International Change

• Organski, A. F. K, and Jacek Kugler. (1980) *The War Ledger*. Chicago: Chicago University Press, ch. 1-2

• Gilpin, Robert. (1981) *War and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, ch. 4-6

• Layne, Christopher. (2006) The Unipolar Illusion Revisited: The Coming End of the United States' Unipolar Moment. *International Security* 31:7-41.

• Le Melle, Tilden J. (2009) Race in International Relations. *International Studies Perspectives* 10:77-83.

• Ikenberry, G. John. (2011) *Liberal Leviathan: The Origins, Crisis, and Transformation of the American World Order* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, ch. 2

• MacDonald, Paul K., and Joseph M. Parent. (2011) Graceful Decline? The Surprising Success of Great Power Retrenchment. *International Security* 35:7-44.

Modelski, George. (1978) The Long Cycle of Global Politics and the Nation-State. *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 20:214-35.

Chase-Dunn, Christopher K. (1979) Comparative Research on World-System Characteristics. *International Studies Quarterly* 23:601-23.

Kennedy, Paul. (1987) *The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers: Economic Change and Military Conflict from 1500 to 2000*. New York: Random House.

Jonathan Dacicco and Jack S. Levy (1999) "Power Shifts and Problem Shifts: The Evolution of the Power Transition Research Program." *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 43(6): 675-704.

Johnston, Alastair Ian. (2003) Is China a Status Quo Power? *International Security* 27:5-56.

5. February 6. "New" International Security Issues

- Fearon , James D., and David D. Laitin. (2003) Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War. *American Political Science Review* 97:75-90.

- Kydd, Andrew H., and Barbara F. Walter. (2006) The Strategies of Terrorism. *International Security* 31:49-80.

- Caverley, Jonathan D. (2009). "The Myth of Military Myopia Democracy, Small Wars, and Vietnam." *International Security*, 34(3), 119-57.

- Greenhill, Kelly M. 2010. *Weapons of Mass Migration: Forced Displacement, Coercion, and Foreign Policy*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, ch. 1

- Cederman, Lars-Erik, Andreas Wimmer, and Brian Min. (2010) Why Do Ethnic Groups Rebel?: New Data and Analysis. *World Politics* 62:87-119.

- Gleditsch, Nils. (2012) Whither the weather? Climate change and conflict. *Journal of Peace Research* 49:3-9.

Walter, Barbara F. (1997) The Critical Barrier to Civil War Settlement. *International Organization* 51:335-64.

Hartzell, Caroline, and Matthews Hoddie. (2003) Institutionalizing Peace: Power Sharing and Post-Civil War Conflict Management. *American Journal of Political Science* 47:318-32.

Lyall, Jason. (2009) Does Indiscriminate Violence Incite Insurgent Attacks? : Evidence from Chechnya. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 53:331-62.

Cohen, Dara Kay. (2013) Explaining Rape during Civil War: Cross-National Evidence (1980–2009). *American Political Science Review* 107:461-77.

Ahmad, Aisha. (2015) The Security Bazaar: Business Interests and Islamist Power in Civil War Somalia. *International Security* 39:89-117. (F)

Virginia Page Fortna (2015) “Do Terrorists Win? Rebels’ Use of Terrorism and Civil War Outcomes.” *International Organization* 69(3): 519-556.

Part II – Ideas, Institutions and Order

6. February 13, Ideas, Perception, Cognition, Psychology and Signaling

- Jervis, Robert. (1968) Hypotheses on Misperception. *World Politics* 20:454-79.
- Levy, Jack S. (1997) Prospect theory, rational choice, and international relations. *International Studies Quarterly* 41:87-112.
- McDermott, Rose. (2004) The Feeling of Rationality: The Meaning of Neuroscientific Advances for Political Science. *Perspective on Politics* 2:691-706.
- Mercer, Jonathan. (2005) Rationality and Psychology in International Politics. *International Organization* 59:77-106.
- Yarhi-Milo, Keren. (2013) In the Eye of the Beholder: How Leaders and Intelligence Communities Assess the Intentions of Adversaries. *International Security* 38:7-51.
- Búzás, Zoltán I. (2013) The Color of Threat: Race, Threat Perception, and the Demise of the Anglo-Japanese Alliance (1902–1923). *Security Studies* 22:573-606.

Levy, Jack S. (1983) Misperception and the Causes of War: Theoretical Linkages and Analytical Problems. *World Politics* 36:76-99.

Kahneman, Daniel, and Amos Tversky. (1984) Choices, Values, and Frames. *American Psychologist* 39:341-50.

Stein, Janice Gross. (1985) Calculation, Miscalculation, and Conventional Deterrence, II: The View from Jerusalem. In *Psychology and Deterrence*, edited by Robert Jervis, Richard Ned Lebow and Janice Gross Stein, Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. 60-88

Saunders, Elizabeth N. (2009) Transformative Choices: Leaders and the Origins of Intervention Strategy. *International Security* 34:119-61.

Mercer, Jonathan. (2010) Emotional Beliefs. *International Organization* 64:1-31.

8. February 27, Domestic Institutions and International Relations

- Doyle, Michael W. Liberalism and world politics. *American Political Science Review* 80:1151-69.
 - Thompson, William R. (1996) Democracy and Peace: Putting the Cart before the Horse? *International Organization* 50:141-74.
 - Bueno De Mesquita, Bruce, Alastair Smith, Randolph M. Siverson, and James D Morrow. (2003) *The Logic of Political Survival*. Cambridge, Mass.: The MIT Press, ch. 1, 5
 - Slantchev, Branislav L., Anna Alexandrova, and Erik Gartzke. (2005) Probabilistic Causality, Selection Bias, and the Logic of the Democratic Peace. *The American Political Science Review* 99:459-62.
 - Weeks, Jessica L. (2008) Autocratic Audience Costs: Regime Type and Signaling Resolve. *International Organization* 62:35-64.
 - Boix, Carles. (2011) Democracy, Development, and the International System. *The American Political Science Review* 105:809-28.
 - McDonald, Patrick J. (2015) Great Powers, Hierarchy, and Endogenous Regimes: Rethinking the Domestic Causes of Peace. *International Organization* 69:557-88.
- Deudney, Daniel, and G. John Ikenberry. (1999) The Nature and Sources of Liberal International Order. *Review of International Studies* 25:179-96.
- Oneal, John R., and Bruce M. Russett. (1999) The Kantian Peace: The Pacific Benefits of Democracy, Interdependence, and International Organizations, 1885-1992. *World Politics* 52:1-37.
- Steven Levitsky and Lucan Way (2006) "Linkage versus Leverage: Rethinking the International Dimension of Regime Change." *Comparative Politics* 38(4): 379-400.
- Weeks, Jessica L. (2012) Strongmen and Straw Men: Authoritarian Regimes and the Initiation of International Conflict. *The American Political Science Review* 106:326-47.
- Narizny, Kevin. (2012) Anglo-American Primacy and the Global Spread of Democracy: An International Genealogy. *World Politics* 64:34.

Part III –International Cooperation & International Institutions

9. March 6, Non-State Actors, Networks and International Relations

- Keohane, Robert O., and Joseph S. Nye. (1977) *Power and interdependence: world politics in transition*. 4th ed. ed. Boston: Little, Brown, ch. 1-2
 - Wapner, Paul. (1995) Politics Beyond the State: Environmental Activism and World Civic Politics. *World Politics* 47:311-40.
 - Keck, Margaret E., and Kathryn Sikkink. (1999) Transnational advocacy networks in international and regional politics. *International Social Science Journal* 51:89-101.
 - R. Charli Carpenter (2007) “Setting the Advocacy Agenda: Theorizing Issue Emergence and Nonemergence in Transnational Advocacy Networks.” *International Studies Quarterly* 51(1): 99-120.
 - Hafner-Burton, Emilie M, Miles Kahler, and Alexander H Montgomery. (2009) Network Analysis for International Relations. *International Organization* 63:559-92.
 - Kinne, Brandon J. (2013) Network Dynamics and the Evolution of International Cooperation. *American Political Science Review* 107:766-85.
- Goddard, Stacie E. (2009) Brokering change: networks and entrepreneurs in international politics. *International Theory* 1:249-81.
- Maoz, Zeev. (2012) How Network Analysis Can Inform the Study of International Relations. *Conflict Management and Peace Science* 29:247-56.
- Cao, Xun. (2012) Global Networks and Domestic Policy Convergence: A Network Explanation of Policy Changes. *World Politics* 64:375-425.
- Wendy Wong (2014) *Internal Affairs: How the Structure of NGOs Transforms Human Rights*. Cornell UP, ch. 2-3 (F)
- Cao, Xun, and Michael D Ward. (2014) Do Democracies Attract Portfolio Investment? Transnational Portfolio Investments Modeled as Dynamic Network. *International Interactions* 40:216-45.
- Matthew Paterson, Matthew Hoffmann, Michele Betsill, Steven Bernstein. (2014). “The Micro foundations of Policy Diffusion towards Complex Global Governance: An Analysis of the Transnational Carbon Emission Trading Network,” *Comparative Political Studies* 47 (3): 420-449. (F)

10. March 13, International Institutions and Organizations

- Keohane, R. O. (1984): *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, ch. 4-6
- Krasner, Stephen D. (1982) Structural Causes and Regime Consequences: Regimes as Intervening Variables. *International Organization* 36:479-97.
- Ruggie, John Gerard. (1982) International Regimes, Transactions, and Change: Embedded Liberalism in the Postwar Economic Order. *International Organization* 36.
- Grieco, Joseph M. (1988) Anarchy and the Limits of International Cooperation. *International Organization* 42:485-507.
- Simmons, Beth A. (2001) The International Politics of Harmonization: The Case of Capital Market Regulation. *International Organization* 55:589-620.
- Voeten, Erik. (2005) The Political Origins of the UN Security Council's Ability to Legitimize the Use of Force. *International Organization* 59:527-57.

Haggard, Stephan, and Beth A Simmons. (1987) Theories of International Regimes. *International Organization* 41:491-517.

Martin, Lisa L. (1992) Interests, Power, and Multilateralism. *International Organization* 46:765-92.

Barnett, Michael N., and Martha Finnemore. (1999) The Politics, Power, and Pathologies of International Organizations. *International Organization* 53:699-732.

Moravcsik, Andrew. (2000) The Origins of Human Rights Regimes: Democratic Delegation in Postwar Europe. *International Organization* 54:217-52.

Simmons, Beth A, and Allison Danner. (2010) Credible Commitments and the International Criminal Court. *International Organization* 64:225-56.

Chaudoin, Stephen, Jeffrey Kucik and Krzysztof Pelc. 2016. "Do WTO Disputes Actually Increase Trade?" *International Studies Quarterly*. 60(2): 294-306.

11. March 20, International Political Economy I: Domestic Sources

- Milner, Helen V. (1987) Resisting the Protectionist Temptation: Industry and the Making of Trade Policy in France and the United States during the 1970s. *International Organization* 41: 639-65.

- Frieden, Jeffrey A. (1991) Invested Interests: The Politics of National Economic Policies in a World of Global Finance *International Organization* 45:425-51.
- Simmons, Beth A. (1994) *Who Adjusts? Domestic Sources of Foreign Economic Policy during the Interwar Years*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, ch. 1, 8
- Robert Putnam (1998) “Diplomacy and Domestic Politics: The Logic of Two Level Games.” *International Organization* 42(3): 427-460.
- Broz, J. Lawrence. (1999). "Origins of the Federal Reserve System: International Incentives and the Domestic Free-Rider." *International Organization*, 53(1), 39-70.
- Forum: Open Economy Reflections: Systemic Theory and Policy Relevance (2017). Review of International Political Economy Volume 4, Issue 4

Rogowski, Ronald. (1989) *Commerce and Coalitions*. New Haven: Princeton University Press.

Goldstein, Judith. (1988) Ideas, Institutions, and American Trade Policy. *International Organization* 42:179-217.

Moravcsik, Andrew. (1997) Taking Preferences Seriously: A Liberal Theory of International Politics. *International Organization* 51:513-53.

Doremus, Paul N., William W. Keller, Louis W. Pauly, and Simon Reich. (1999) *The Myth of the Global Corporation*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, ch. 6 (F)

Bernhard, William, Lawrence J Broz, and Roberts William Clark. (2002) The Political Economy of Monetary Institutions. *International Organization* 56:693-723.

Michael Hiscox (2002) “Class versus Industry Cleavages: Inter Industry Factor Mobility and the Politics of Trade.” *International Organization* 55(1): 1-46.

Manger, Mark S (2009) *Investing in Protection: The Politics of Preferential Trade Agreements between North and South*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press. (F)

Milner, Helen V., and Dustin H. Tingley. (2011) Who Supports Global Economic Engagement? The Sources of Preferences in American Foreign Economic Policy. *International Organization* 65:37-68.

12. March 27, International Political Economy: International Sources

- Kindleberger, Charles P. (1973) *The World in Depression 1929-1939*. Berkeley: University of California Press, ch. 14
- Gilpin, Robert. (1987) *The Political Economy of International Relations*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, ch. 1-3
- Gowa, Joanne S. (1989) Rational Hegemons, Excludable Goods, and Small Groups: an Epitaph for Hegemonic Stability Theory? *World Politics* 41:307-24.
- Rudra, Nita. (2002) Globalization and the Decline of the Welfare State in Less-Developed Countries. *International Organization* 56:411-45.
- Simmons, Beth A., and Zachary Elkins. (2004) The Globalization of Liberalization: Policy Diffusion in the International Political Economy. *The American Political Science Review* 98:171-89.
- Liao, Steven, and Daniel McDowell. (2015) Redback Rising: China's Bilateral Swap Agreements and Renminbi Internationalization. *International Studies Quarterly* 59:401-22.

Strange, Susan. (1971) *Sterling and British Policy: A Political Study of an International Currency in Decline*. London: Oxford University Press, ch. 1

Cohen, Benjamin J. (1977) *Organizing the World's Money: The Political Economy of International Monetary Relations*. New York: Basic Books, ch. 1-2

Baldwin, David A. (1985) *Economic Statecraft*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, ch. 3, 7

Strange, Susan. (1994) *States and Markets*. London: Pinter, ch. 2, 11

Busch, Marc L. (2007). "Overlapping Institutions, Forum Shopping, and Dispute Settlement in International Trade." *International Organization* 61(4): 735-761.

McNamara, Kathleen R. 2008. "A Rivalry in the Making? The Euro and International Monetary Power." *Review of International Political Economy*, 15(3), 439-59.

Part IV –How Inclusive is IR?

13. April 3, Tolerance and Diversity in the Study of International Relations?

- Puchala, Donald J. (1997) Some Non-Western Perspectives on International Relations. *Journal of Peace Research* 34:129-34.

- Sil, Rudra, and Peter J. Katzenstein. (2010) Analytic Eclecticism in the Study of World Politics: Reconfiguring Problems and Mechanisms across Research Traditions. *Perspective on Politics* 8:411-31.
- Maliniak, Daniel, Amy Oakes, Susan Peterson, and Michael J. Tierney. (2011) International Relations in the US Academy. *International Studies Quarterly* 55:437-64.
- Maliniak, Daniel, Ryan Powers, and Barbara F. Walter. (2013) The Gender Citation Gap in International Relations. *International Organization* 67:889-922.
- Voeten, Erik. (2013) Gender bias in professional networks and citations. *Monkey Cage, The Washington Post*, October 4, 2013, Washington DC.
- Acharya, Amitav. (2014) Global International Relations (IR) and Regional Worlds: A New Agenda for International Studies. *International Studies Quarterly* 58:647-59.
- Fuji, Lee Ann. 2017. "The Real Problem with Diversity in Political Science." *Duck of Minerva*, (April 27, 2017). (F) <http://duckofminerva.com/2017/04/the-real-problem-with-diversity-in-political-science.html>

Sterling-Folker, Jennifer. (2015) All Hail to the Chief: Liberal IR Theory in the New World Order. *International Studies Perspectives* 16:40-49

Saideman, Steve. 2016. "Gender, Rank and IR: Missing Role Models." *Duck of Minerva*, (March 29, 2016). <http://duckofminerva.com/2016/03/gender-rank-and-ir-missing-role-models.html>