

Last updated **August 25, 2010**

Course Syllabus: Please bring hard copy to each lecture

**Pol. 318 H 1 (F)**

**Fall Term, 2010**

**CANADA AND THE GLOBAL CHALLENGE SINCE 9/11 - Part I**

..... **NAFTA and the Political Economy of the Post-National State** .....

Lectures: Monday, 10 a.m. - noon in Sidney Smith Hall 2108  
Instructor: Professor **Stephen Clarkson**  
Office: Sidney Smith Hall 3119  
Office Hours: Mondays and Tuesdays from 2 pm to 5 pm *by appointment*:  
Telephones: Mondays and Tuesdays only: 416-978-3119  
Wednesdays and Fridays: 416-978-2682 (leave a message if I don't answer)  
Fax: (416) 978-5566

Home address: 59 Lowther Avenue, southeast corner at Bedford Road  
Home phone: 416-925-7596 (leave a message if I don't answer)  
Blackboard: <http://portal.utoronto.ca/>

Teaching Assistant: Evan Rosevear [evan.rosevear@utoronto.ca]

**OBJECTIVES**

The implementation of the Canada-United States Free Trade Agreement (CUFTA) on January 1, 1989 marked a watershed in Canada's relationship with the United States. In the eyes of the Agreement's opponents and proponents alike, CUFTA would transform the Canadian economy. Critics believed that "free trade" (expanded in January 1994 to include Mexico via NAFTA) would seriously undermine Canada's fragmented political system and already vulnerable culture. Advocates maintained that these dangers were negligible and that there was no alternative, given the regional integration happening in Europe (EU), South-East Asia (ASEAN), and South America (Mercosur). Besides, NAFTA's rules were consistent with the global obligations Canada assumed by joining the World Trade Organization in 1995.

This course will apply to North America the theoretical issues and policy problems central to the worldwide debate on globalization's effects on previously sovereign states and cultures.

As "political economists," we will reject the conventional boundaries that isolate political science from economics. According to this perspective, Canada's position in the world cannot be understood just as a question of politics or of economics. Our *intellectual* challenge in studying Canada's *global* challenge in North America is to develop analytical frameworks that give us a comprehensive understanding of the multi-dimensional dynamics of Canada's past development and present problems.

This subject matter is prone to highly ideological treatment, for it touches one of the most sensitive of Canadian nerves. Nevertheless, our objective will be to deal fairly, factually, and rigorously with the assumptions, theories, and arguments used in the debate between nationalists (who believe that Canada suffers from and should resist its economic, political, and cultural domination by the United States) and continentalists (who believe that Canada should optimistically embrace the forces of integration that it has opposed for too long and in vain.)

**Note:** Students are strongly advised not to take this course and its companion, **Pol. 341 H-F**, in the same term.

## PEDAGOGICAL APPROACH

### **1. Lectures**

Central to the course are the weekly lectures. They will discuss the basic theoretical issues raised by the literature about Canada's position in North America, give the historical background and analytical context, and examine the implications of continental integration for Canada's economy and polity. Regular attendance is expected; an attendance record is kept. Computers are not allowed for note taking except for students with special needs.

Short readings are specified for each lecture. To get the most out of the lectures, these passages should be read beforehand. Students are to keep a log of these readings which, along with their lecture notes, may be brought to the Christmas exam for a possible bonus of up to 2 per cent (1% for the log and notes, 1% for perfect attendance; no excuses for absences will be considered).

Periodically, special guests will come to apply their expertise to the topic under discussion.

### **2. Tests**

A final examination worth 25 per cent will be held in the University College's East Hall - UC266. The questions will be distributed one week before, at lecture 12.

### **3. Office Hours**

I want to meet each member of the course during my office hours early in the term. Please drop by for a brief chat and bring a small photograph for me to attach to your file.

### **4. Essays**

Because "we write to learn," essay writing is the principal focus for your individual work.

- In order to generate a common vocabulary for the course, every student will do a **book review** by **Lecture 3**.
- An **outline** for the major **essay** must then be submitted for approval by Lecture 6.

### **5. Learning Options**

Two **options** offer you a choice for your learning experience.

#### Option A. All Written Work

This involves solo study. The book report, outline, and essay determine the entire term mark:

book review	(1,000 words or 6 pages)	worth 15 percent
essay outline	(3 pages)	worth 20 percent
essay	(3,500 words or 14 pages)	worth 40 percent

#### Option B. Two Essays plus Weekly Discussion Group

In addition to the written work of Option A, this option involves participation in a weekly, student-run discussion group that allows time for debating the issues raised during the lectures and readings, self-help in essay and test preparation, and getting to know 8 to 10 fellow students. Once this option is chosen (and approved by me), regular attendance at tutorials is mandatory. Weekly reports are submitted to me evaluating each session and alerting me to problems that may need resolving. Participation accounts for 10 per cent, with the book review and essay worth 5 per cent less than in Option A.

### **Summary of Marking Coefficients for Options A and B**

<b><u>OPTION</u></b>	<b><u>A</u></b>	<b><u>B</u></b>
<b>First term</b> book review	15	10
outline	20	15
essay	40	40
discussion group		10
<b>Term work</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Christmas test</b>	25	25
<b>Second term</b>		
<b>Final mark</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>

**Accessibility Needs:** The University of Toronto is committed to accessibility. If you require accommodation for a disability please consult me immediately. Alternatively, contact Accessibility Services about any other related concerns at: [disability.services@utoronto.ca](mailto:disability.services@utoronto.ca) or <http://studentlife.utoronto.ca/accessibility>

### **SC's Shorthand**

- assumption
- conclusion
- constitution
- continental, continent
- economics, economic
- global, globalization
- hypothesis
- introduction
- cultural, culture
- internal
- politics, political
- sociology, sociological
- theory, theoretical
- external

## **SCHEDULE, DEADLINES, and PENALTIES for TERM WORK and EXAMINATION**

### **Options A and B:**

<b>Book review:</b>	L3	September 27	returned with comments Week 4, October 4
<b>Outline: **</b>	L6	October 18	returned with comments Week 7, October 25
<b>Essay:</b>	L9	November 8	returned with comments Week 12, November 29

<b>Final exam:</b>	L12	November 29	Questions distributed
	L13	Monday, December 6	Test held in UC East Hall 10 to 11.55 a.m.

All assignments are due on the specified date by 10:10 a.m., that is, before the lecture begins, when the TA will pick them up. The penalty clock for lateness will start at that time.

**LATE Assignments** are to be handed in to Sidney Smith 3018 and stamped with the date and time.

### **\* Penalties for lateness:**

Book reviews:	5 percent per day; will not be accepted after one week
Outlines:	5 percent per day; will not be accepted after one week
Essays:	2 percent per day; will not be accepted after two weeks

**Extensions** may be granted for incapacitating medical problems notified before the deadline and documented subsequently by a doctor. Extensions are not granted for computer failure or other work commitments, including preparation for LSAT tests.

\*\* See page 10 for what is required in an **outline**.

**Working material:** Be sure to keep all rough and draft work and hard copies of your book report, essay outline, and essay until the marked assignments have been returned.

**Plagiarism:** Plagiarism is a serious academic offence and will be dealt with accordingly. For the University of Toronto's policy on plagiarism, consult: <http://www.utoronto.ca/writing/plagsep.html>."

You are required to submit your course material to **Turnitin.com** for a review of textual similarity. In doing so, students will allow their essays to be included as source documents in the Turnitin.com reference database, where they will be used solely for the purpose of detecting plagiarism. The terms that apply to the University's use of the Turnitin.com service are described on the Turnitin.com web site. If you object to Turnitin on principle, you may hand in all rough work and include an annotated bibliography with the paper.

### **Complaint and Appeals procedures**

1. **Either:** Have a discussion with the TA about the mark, the comments, and your writing.
2. **Or:** After a one-week cooling down period,
  - (a) request the TA to reread the text.
  - (b) If still dissatisfied, ask SC to read the assignment.
  - (c) If still unhappy, appeal to the Prime Minister. (This is not entirely a joke: I did have a student who appealed my mark to Pierre Trudeau.)

Beware! reconsideration by either Evan or SC may cause the mark to go up -- but it may make it go down. Of the two possible, but mutually exclusive, routes, we strongly recommend the first.

## LECTURE SCHEDULE and WEEKLY READINGS

Those **readings for each lecture** that do not come out of the required texts and are not available on line or on Blackboard are compiled in a xeroxed compendium available from Alico's Copy Centre, 203-A College Street, 599-2342.

You are asked to keep a brief **reading log** (at the end of this syllabus) and hand in a **photocopy** of the first 7 weeks' readings (page 14) on L7, October 26

### L.1 Introduction: Continentalism 'n' Us

Sept. 13

A systematic explanation of my pedagogy -- options, essays, bibliographies, outlines, weightings, deadlines, penalties, tests, and such other necessary evils as lecture topics and weekly readings -- will introduce you to the course's conceptual ends and pedagogical means.

Read: Nothing required: it's your time for getting over any qualms before the storm.

## PART I -The DEBATE over CANADA'S ECONOMIC (MIS)DEVELOPMENT

### L.2 The Battle of the Paradigms: (1) Innis's Political Economy and Its Critics

Sept. 20

*9 a.m., 12 and 1 p.m.: first discussion groups meet*

In intellectual opposition to the long-dominant school of neo-classical economics, the 'political economy' interpretation of Canada's international position traces its roots back to the original, magisterial, technology-focused work of Harold Innis. A later generation of political economists challenged Innis's determinism while still rejecting neo-classical economics.

Read:

- **Daniel Drache**, "Introduction," in Harold A. Innis, *Staples, Markets, and Cultural Change* (Montreal: McGill-Queen's, 1995), xiii-liv.

- **Hart**, *A Trading Nation*, ch.1, 3, and 10.

*11.50: Evan Rosevear will discuss the book-review assignment*

### L.3 The Hegemon and the Client State: Conflicting Trajectories?

Sept. 27

*10.10 a.m. Evan Rosevear will collect the book reviews and discuss the essay outline assignment.*

The United States' historic strategy towards Canada. The tightening of US protectionism using non-tariff barriers was seen in the early 1980s as a severe threat to Canada's economic prospects, leading the country's economic and political elites to push for an agreement with Washington to achieve (i) "secure" and (ii) "enhanced" access to the US market.

Read:

- **Clarkson**, *Uncle Sam and Us*, chs. 2, 3.

- **G. Stewart**, "A 'Special Contiguous Country Economic Regime': America's Canadian Policy," *Diplomatic History* (Fall, 1982), 339-57.

**L.4 The Battle of the Paradigms: Transnational Corporations**

**Oct. 4**

Political economy applied the microeconomic theory of the firm to the macroeconomic question of foreign investment producing significant breakthroughs in understanding the nature of foreign direct investment in Canada. The Watkins Report (1968), the Wahn Report (1970), and the Gray Report (1972) received a cool response from mainstream economists but resulted in such Canadian efforts to reduce the deemed negative effects of US transnational corporations as the CDC (Canada Development Corporation), FIRA (Foreign Investment Review Agency) and the NEP (National Energy Program). In Mexico, a parallel strategy of import-substitution industrialization had created a more autarchic economy.

Read:

- **Clarkson**, *Uncle Sam and Us*, ch. 12.
- **Litvak and Maule**, in Fox, Hero, and Nye, eds., *Canada and the United States*, 119-39.

*11.50 Evan Rosevear will return the book reviews and discuss any problems with them..*

**Week 5 Thanksgiving: No class Bon appétit!**

**Oct. 11**

**PART II The CANADIAN STATE under TRADE LIBERALIZATION**

**L.6 The Canada-United States Free Trade Agreement**

**Oct. 18**

*10.10 a.m. Essay outlines due*

The 1987-8 debate over the virtues and vices of CUFTA was long and protracted. The signing of NAFTA in 1993 revived the controversy all over again. Mainstream economists, who looked for efficiency gains, had a completely different view from political economists, who worried about Canada's loss of national autonomy – that is, political control over public policy.

Read:

- **Clarkson**, *Uncle Sam and Us*, chs. 4 and 11.

**L.7 The Economic Impact of Trade Liberalization: Trade and Investment**

**Oct. 25**

*10.10 Submit a photo copy of your reading logs up to L.7*

The two opposing positions on free trade have understandably generated opposing interpretations of the broad economic impact of commercial and investment liberalization on Canadian jobs and Canada's investment, productivity, and wage gaps with the United States.

Read:

- **Clarkson**, *Uncle Sam and Us*, ch.11.
- Daniel Schwanen, "Trade Liberalization and Inequality in Canada during the 1990s," *The Review of Economic Performance and Social Progress 2001: The Longest Decade: Canada in the 1990s*, 2001, vol. 1. updated version accessible at [http://econpapers.repec.org/bookchap/slsrepsls/v\\_3A1\\_3Ay\\_3A2001\\_3Ads.htm](http://econpapers.repec.org/bookchap/slsrepsls/v_3A1_3Ay_3A2001_3Ads.htm)

11.50 Evan Rosevear will return the essay outlines and discuss any problems with them.

**L.8 The Environment and NAFTA's Chapter 11**

**Nov. 1**

Like labour, the environment was formally excluded from CUFTA and formally included in NAFTA. Trade unions and environmentalists were divided about the expected impact of the new trade rules in general and, in particular, about NAFTA's labour and environment commissions on workers' rights and environmental conditions, including bulk water exports. NAFTA's real environmental-policy significance was felt indirectly but powerfully through the radical judicial process written into Chapter 11 which allowed TNCs to contest public policies they claimed expropriated their assets.

Read:

- **Clarkson**, *Uncle Sam and Us*, ch. 17.
- Gus Van Harten, "Private authority and transnational governance: the contours of the international system of investor protection," *Review of International Political Economy* 12:4 (October 2005), 600–23.
- Gus Van Harten, "Thinking twice about a gold rush: *Pacific Rim v El Salvador*," *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, No. 23, (May 24, 2010), 2 pages. [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1622973](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1622973)

**Week 9 Autumn Reading Week Break Essays due in Pol Sci Dept. SSH 3018**

**10 a.m., Nov. 8**

**L.10 NAFTA and Mexico's Significance for Canada**

**Nov. 15**

Besides *deepening* CUFTA by increasing its disciplines in several chapters, NAFTA *broadened* the agreement by including Third-World Mexico within its membership and creating a new relationship for Canada within the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America and the North American Competitiveness Council.

Read:

- Max Cameron and Carol Wise, "The Political Impact of NAFTA on Mexico: Reflections on the Political Economy of Democratization." *Canadian Journal of Political Science*. 37 (2) 301-323 June 2004. Online Journal
- Stephen Clarkson, "The Third Bilateral: The Mexico-Canada Relationship," ch. 18 in *Does North America Exist? Governing the Continent after NAFTA and 9/11* (Toronto and Washington: U of T and Woodrow Wilson Presses, 2008).

**L.11 Industrial Policy under Trade Liberalization**

**Nov. 22**

What does granting "national treatment" mean for industrial policy-making capacity at the federal and provincial levels of government in Canada? Does the steel industry provide lessons for how the domestic economy could grow within North America but not under conditions of globalizing markets?

Read:

- **Clarkson**, *Uncle Sam and Us*, chs.13, 14.
- Stephen Clarkson, "The Steel Industry," ch. 10 in *Does North America Exist? Governing the Continent after NAFTA and 9/11* (Toronto and Washington: University of Toronto and Woodrow Wilson Presses, forthcoming). Available on Blackboard.
- Peter Warrian, "The Tipping Point between Stelco & Dofasco." Available on Blackboard.

**L.12 The Border as Trade Facilitator or Security Barrier?**

**Nov. 29**

Washington's response to the terrorist attack of 2001 superimposed a defensive security paradigm over its expansive predecessor of reducing barriers to trade and investment. The result has been a US drive for border security that put Canada and Mexico in the same box when dealing with the US government.

**Read:**

- Stephen Clarkson "Manoeuvring within the Continental Constitution: Autonomy and Capacity within the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America," in Jean Daudelin and Daniel Schwanen, eds., *Canada among Nations 2007* (Montreal: McGill-Queen's University Press, 2008), 248-67. Available on Blackboard.
- Stephen Clarkson, "Border Security and the Continental Perimeter," ch. 16 in *Does North America Exist? Governing the Continent after NAFTA and 9/11* (Toronto and Washington: University of Toronto and Woodrow Wilson Presses, forthcoming). Available on Blackboard.

*11.35 Exam questions distribute and discussed*

*11.45 Course evaluation*

*11.50 Essays returned by Evan Rosevear with comments.*

**Final Examination**

University College East Hall 10 – 11.55 a.m.

**Dec. 6**



## **REQUIRED BOOKS**

Stephen Clarkson, *Uncle Sam and Us: Globalization, Neoconservatism, and the Canadian State* (University of Toronto Press and Woodrow Wilson Press, 2002).

Michael Hart, *A Trading Nation: Canadian Trade Policy from Colonialism to Globalization* (Vancouver: UBC Press, 2002), chapters 1, 3, 10, 12, 13, 15, and 16.

## **ESSAYS and OUTLINES**

Your book review and essay will be read carefully. Comments on language and logic will be noted in the margins. More general responses to the overall strengths and weaknesses of each essay will be written on a separate page, a copy of which will be kept as part of your file to help in the writing of references if needed in the future.

Essays will be judged by the following criteria:

### **1. Argument** 25%

The originality and the power of the analysis you present; the extent that a theory from the political economy literature is tested or some interesting hypothesis of your own is proven; the coherence of the logic with which you develop your case. *Students' most common problem* comes from not finding a clear question to address and so not developing an effective thesis.

### **2. Information** 25%

The mastery of the factual material that you present from your research in the literature, its relevance to your argument, its effectiveness in making your case, its accuracy and completeness. *Students' most common problem* comes from not knowing what material to consult and so not marshalling information that is relevant to demonstrating the thesis.

### **3. Structure** 25%

The coherence of your paper's organization and its utility in helping develop your argument. *Students' most common problem* comes from not developing an organization of this material that serves the argument's development.

### **4. Writing and Editing** 25%

The clarity with which you express your ideas and communicate your thinking, correct usage of English (or French) syntax and language, integrity of paragraphs, narrative continuity. Editing includes the care with which you present the essay: correct spelling, proper presentation of (preferably) footnotes and bibliography. *Students' most common problem* comes from not writing a first draft early enough so that unclear points can be clarified, the introduction and conclusion reformulated, the argument perfected, and the text carefully edited for annoying typographical errors.

Everyone suffers from insufficient time to plan, research, think and write well. **Remedy:** start early.

## ESSAY OUTLINE

To help you address these problems more efficiently in the limited time you have available, you are asked to produce a **three-page outline** of your major paper at an early stage of its development. You should spend a couple of weeks doing some general research -- reading your own texts and looking through the books and articles from the course bibliography that appear most relevant to the subject that interests you. You should then produce an outline using the following format:

Page 1. A few paragraphs explaining what question you want to answer, what theory you hope to explore, and the general argument you want to develop.

Page 2. Your proposed point-form structure in the form of a mini-table of contents.

Page 3. A bibliography of the dozen or so main sources you expect to consult.

## ESSAY ASSIGNMENTS

### **1. Book Review**

6 pages (1,500 words)

In *A Trading Nation* Michael Hart states “Underlying most decisions to discriminate – whether domestically or internationally – is skepticism about the efficacy of markets and the price mechanism on the one hand, and faith in the beneficial effect of government regulation and controls on the other. Most of those decisions, however, are not based on economic concepts and analysis but on non-economic instincts and biases.” (p. 7)

Does Hart’s analysis of Canadian trade policy support this position? Take care to evaluate the logic, information, and structure of Hart’s argument, rather than just articulating how or why you agree or disagree with his conclusion.

As a minimum, base your analysis on chapters 1, 3, 10, 12, 13, 15, and 16.

These chapters are available in the course reader to be purchased from Alico’s Copy Shop.

### **2. Suggested Essay Topics**

14 pages (3,500 words)

Students should feel free to amend the topics listed below in consultation with Evan Rosevear or SC.

- 1. Assess the capacity of Harold Innis’ staples theory to explain how Canada came to trade liberalization.**
- 2. How does Canada's relatively minor political, economic, and cultural position in North America compare with that of any of the smaller European states (Ireland, Holland, Greece) in the European Community?**
- 3. Can Canada’s political sovereignty be reconciled with the economic integration that NAFTA was designed to encourage?**
- 4. What effect did trade and investment liberalization have on the alignment of nationalist versus continentalist forces in Canada over the past quarter century? Develop your analysis with reference to at least one of such groups as labour unions, women's groups, the voluntary sector, business organizations, and political parties.**
- 5. Having once been the instruments for entrenching the Americanization of Canadian labour, why have trade unions become vehicles for resisting Americanization in the past quarter century?**
- 6. In what ways does NAFTA compare with the European Union as a continental trade bloc?**
- 7. Compare the arguments put forward by supporters and opponents in Canada either for a "Big Idea" for further North American integration**

These were made primarily by the C.D. Howe Research Institute: [cdhowe@cdhowe.org](mailto:cdhowe@cdhowe.org)

or the proposals for fixing North American governance that were published in the wake of Sept. 11, 2001. These were made primarily by the Council of Foreign Relations' experts report  
or the Security and Prosperity Partnership of North America signed by the three governments' leaders in March, 2005.

8. How has NAFTA affected the historic Canadian debate about foreign direct investment?
9. How has neoconservative globalization affected Canadian-owned capital?
10. Did free trade protect Canada from U.S protectionism?
11. Have CUFTA and NAFTA transformed the Canadian-American relationship?
12. Compare Mexico's with Canada's rationale for negotiating continental integration.
13. Is the "sustainable development" that is meant to result from NAFTA's environment commission contradicted by the policy implications of Chapter 11's investor-state dispute process?
14. Evaluate the impact of NAFTA and its dispute settlement processes on Canada's political economy (including the impact on the federal government's economic policy-making) in one of the following sectors:
  - (a) banking
  - (b) energy
  - (c) automobile and auto parts
  - (d) tele-communications
  - (e) steel or
  - (f) another area subject to our approval.
15. Did the dispute settlement mechanism in CUFTA and NAFTA achieve Canada's goal of 'secure access' to the American market?
16. Assess how the new relationship between trade and security should change our assessment of NAFTA after September 11, 2001.
18. Another topic of *your* choice subject to *our* approval.

### **BOOKS FOR BACKGROUND**

The following older volumes have many useful chapters on specific problems which should prove of some help as background in your essay research. Consult their table of contents.

Cameron, Duncan, ed. *The Free Trade Deal*. Toronto: James Lorimer & Co., 1988. [HF 1766 F73 1988 ROBA/VIC]

Cameron, Duncan and Mel Watkins, eds. *Canada Under Free Trade*. Toronto: James Lorimer & Co., 1993. [F 5047 C2939 1993 ROBA]

Clement, Wallace, ed. *Understanding Canada: Building on the New Canadian Political Economy*. Montreal; Kingston: McGill-Queens University Press, 1997. [HC 115 U52 1997 ROBA]

- Crispo, John, ed. *Free Trade: The Real Story*. Canada: Gage Educational Publishing Co., 1988. [HF 1766 F74 1988 ROBA/TRIN]
- Drache, Daniel and Meric S. Gertler, eds. *The New Era of Global Competition: State Policy and Market Power*. Montreal; Kingston: McGill-Queens University Press, 1991. [HC 115 N393 1991 ROBA]
- Gilpin, Robert. 2001. *Global Political Economy: Understanding the International Economic Order*, Princeton University Press. [HF 1359 .G5516 2001X ROBA]
- Globerman, Steven, and Michael Walker, eds., *Assessing NAFTA: A Trinational Analysis*. Vancouver: Fraser, 1993. [HF 1766 A85 1993 ROBA]
- Gold, Marc and David Leyton-Brown, eds. *Trade-Offs on Free Trade*. Toronto: Carswell, 1988. [HF 1766 T73 1988 TRIN/LAW]
- Grinspun, Ricardo and Maxwell A. Cameron, eds. *The Political Economy of North American Free Trade*. New York: St. Martins Press, 1993. [HF 1746 P65 1993 ROBA]
- Held, David et. al, 1999. *Global Transformations: Politics, Economics, Culture*. Cambridge: Polity Press. [JZ 1308 .G59 1999]
- Ito, Takatoshi and Krueger, Anne, eds. *Regionalism versus Multilateral Trade Arrangements*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1997.
- Krueger, Anne O. ed., 2000. *The WTO as an International Organization*. [HF 1385 .W78 1998X ROBA]
- OECD. *Regionalism and It's Place in the Multilateral Trading System*. Paris: OECD, 1996. [ZZ...ED...20B-1996 R26 NONCIRC ROBA]
- Randall, Stephen J., Herman Konrad and Sheldon Silverman, eds. *North America Without Borders?* Calgary: University of Calgary Press, 1992. [HF 1766 N67 1992 UC/SIGS]
- Randall, Stephen J. and Herman W. Konrad, eds. *NAFTA in Transition*. Calgary: University of Calgary Press, 1995. [HF 1746 N345 1995 ROBA/SIGS]
- Sampson, Gary P. 2001. *The Role of the WTO in Global Governance*.
- Schott, Jeffrey J. ed. 2000. *The WTO After Seattle*. [HF 1385 .W778 2000X ROBA]
- Stubbs, R. and Geoffrey R. D. Underhill, eds. *Political Economy and the Changing Global Order*. London: Macmillan, 1994. [HF 1411 P591154 1994 ROBA] and 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 2000.
- Winham, Gilbert 1986. *International Trade and the Tokyo Round Negotiation*, Princeton University Press. [HF 1412 .W45 1986 ROBA]

## Formating and style guide for written work

Text: Times Roman 11 point, left justified, with 1.5 spaces between lines.

Header (**not** footer) in *Times Roman 10 point*:

left: your first and LAST NAME;

centre: brief subject in italics such as *NAFTA and Foreign direct investment*

right: page number

Spacing at beginning of new subsection:

two line spaces before a new section head; one between section head and first paragraph.

Misc.

- US and not U.S. **US** should only be used as an adjective; as a noun, write United States
- % should be spelled out as 'per cent' except in tables.
- When possible, paragraphs should not exceed 12 lines.
- All numbers under 100 are spelled out. Those larger than 99 are not spelled out unless they come at the beginning of a sentence.
- **Italicize** words in other languages that are not commonly used in English such as *maquiladoras* but not in the case of titles or organization such as Congreso de Trabajo or words that have been assimilated into English such as de facto. When in doubt, check the Oxford Canadian dictionary.
- Oxford Canadian **spelling**: program *not* programme, sceptical *not* skeptical, labour *not* labor, defence *not* defense, cigarette *not* cigaret, practise as a verb, practice as a noun, globalization *not* globalisation.
- Bilateral, binational, transborder, and neoconservative; not bi-lateral, bi-national, trans-border, or neo-conservative
- Anti-globalization not antiglobalization
- Dollar/currency: **US\$** or **CD\$** preceding the amount of money when it is not the currency of the country in question

Footnotes and Bibliography

The example below follows the Note-Bibliography system set out in the *Chicago Manual of Style*, 15th edition, and its student version, Turabian's *Manual for Writers*, 7th edition. Our examples use superscript note numbers rather than regular-font numbers for the notes; either is acceptable. For display on this webpage, we use endnotes, and put them under the heading "Notes." (Footnotes would appear at the bottom of each page after a short dashed line.) Here are some further remarks on particularities of this system:

- When you refer to a source the **second time**, you can shorten the note by using only the author's last name and the page number (e.g., Smith, 435). That's easier for both author and reader than learning the old-fashioned system of Latin abbreviations (formerly italicized) such as "Op. cit." ("in the same work") and "Ibid." ("in the same place"); these are rarely used now. If you are using **two books by the same author**, include a shortened form of the book title to clarify which you mean.
- Notes are **indented** like paragraphs in the essay (indent the first line, not the subsequent ones). Notes should be **single-spaced**, but with a blank line between notes. Bibliography entries are

given in hanging-indent form (first line flush with the left margin, subsequent lines indented) and are also single-spaced with a blank line between entries.

- In listing a Web page as a source, include the **date you read the page** as well as the URL. That information lets your reader judge whether he or she is seeing the same version of the Web page that you did. See also the section below on [Electronic Sources](#).
- In this system, you still use **parentheses** within your prose to give page or line numbers for texts you refer to repeatedly (e.g. historical documents or works of literature). Use a note for the first such reference so the reader knows which edition you're using, and state that all subsequent references will be to this edition.

For more detailed advice on formatting in this style, consult the Notes-Bibliography chapters of the *Chicago Manual of Style*, 15th edition (Z253 C45 2003); this authoritative reference work on all matters related to editing is now available [online](#) in full to U of T students and faculty. The University of California at Berkeley provides a useful [summary](#) of this system of referencing. See also the Chicago style section of the University of Auckland's [interactive guide](#) on formatting.

### Example:

When Hamlet protests to his mother, "Leave wringing of your hands" (3.4.34),<sup>1</sup> he is naming a universally recognizable gesture. As Smith says, similar broad physical movements are "still the most direct way of indicating inner turmoil."<sup>2</sup> Zygmundi confirms their continuing usefulness in contemporary productions of other sixteenth-century plays.<sup>3</sup> Renaissance audiences would have recognized hand-wringing as a signal for inner distress,<sup>4</sup> specifically for a condition that the Elizabethan author Reynolds named "ague of the spirits."<sup>5</sup> Poor sight lines in Elizabethan theatres also required highly visible body movements.<sup>6</sup> In her new book, Brown attempts to show that such gestures are related to stylized movements from religious ceremonies.<sup>7</sup> She argues that acting methods responded to both the physical conditions of the theatres and the audience's cultural expectations.<sup>8</sup>

### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> William Shakespeare, *Hamlet*, in *The Norton Introduction to Literature*, 8th ed., ed. Alison Booth, J. Paul Hunter, Kelly J. Mays, and Jerome Beaty (New York: W.W. Norton, 2001), 996. Subsequent parenthetical references will refer to this edition.

<sup>2</sup> Jasmine Smith, "Renovating *Hamlet* for Contemporary Audiences," *UTQ* 76 (Summer 2007): 960.

<sup>3</sup> David Zygmundi, "Acting Out the Moralities for Today's Audiences," *Termagant Society Online*, <http://www.nouniv.ca/soc/termagant/moral.html>; accessed 22 August 2006.

<sup>4</sup> Joan Brown, *The Renaissance Stage* (Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2000), 111.

<sup>5</sup> Peter Reynolds, *The Player's Chapbooke*, 1587; quoted in Aline Mahieu, *Acting Shakespeare* (Toronto: Gibson, 2004), 69.

<sup>6</sup> Smith, 964.

<sup>7</sup> Joan Brown, *Ritual and Drama in the Elizabethan Age* (Toronto: Oxford University Press, 2008), 90.

<sup>8</sup> Brown, *Ritual*, 14.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

Brown, Joan. *The Renaissance Stage*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2000.

-----, *Ritual and Drama in the Elizabethan Age*. Toronto: Oxford University Press, 2008.

Mahieu, Aline. *Acting Shakespeare*. Toronto: Gibson, 2004.

Shakespeare, William. *Hamlet*. In *The Norton Introduction to Literature*, 8th ed., ed. Alison Booth, J. Paul Hunter, Kelly J. Mays, and Jerome Beaty. 941-1033. New York: W.W. Norton, 2001.

Smith, Jasmine. "Renovating *Hamlet* for Contemporary Audiences." *UTQ* 76 (Summer 2007): 960-69.

Zygmundi, David. "Acting Out the Moralities for Today's Audiences." *Termagant Society Online*. <http://www.nouniv.ca/terma/moral.html> (accessed 22 August 2009).

**Reading Log**

Your name: .....

<b>Reading</b>	<b>Read (b)efor (a)fterLectur</b>	<b>Time Spent</b>	<b>1 Sentence Summary</b>	<b>Usefulness</b>
<i>L2 Sept. 20</i> Drache				1 2 3 4 5
Hart Ch. 3				1 2 3 4 5
<i>L3 Sept. 27</i> Clarkson 2, 3				1 2 3 4 5
Stewart				1 2 3 4 5
<i>L4 Oct. 4</i> Clarkson 12				1 2 3 4 5
Litvak and Maule				1 2 3 4 5
<hr/> <i>L6 Oct. 18</i> Clarkson 4				1 2 3 4 5
Clarkson 11				1 2 3 4 5
<i>L7 Oct. 25</i> Clarkson 11				1 2 3 4 5
Schwanen				1 2 3 4 5

**L8 Nov. 1** 1 2 3 4 5  
Clarkson 17

Gus Van Harten, 1 2 3 4 5  
Private authority

---

Gus Van Harten, 1 2 3 4 5  
Thinking twice

---

**L10 Nov. 15** 1 2 3 4 5  
Cameron & Wise

Clarkson, Third 1 2 3 4 5  
Bilateral

**L11 Nov. 22** 1 2 3 4 5  
Clarkson 13, 14

Clarkson 1 2 3 4 5  
Steel Industry

Warrian 1 2 3 4 5  
The Tipping Point

**L12 Nov. 29** 1 2 3 4 5  
Clarkson,  
Manoeuvring

Clarkson, 1 2 3 4 5  
Border Security